

Reelle Analysis - Serie 5

A17: (i) Def. $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n) =$ smallest σ -algebra generated by open subsets of \mathbb{R}^n
 = " " " " closed " " "

Recall: def. $G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is open iff $\forall x \in G, \exists r > 0$ s.t. $B(x, r) \subset G$ where $B(x, r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|y-x\| < r\}$
 $F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed iff $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus F = F^c$ is open.

(i) $\{x\} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n), \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$: because $\{x\}$ is closed since $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}$ is open: $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\} \Rightarrow B(y, \frac{\|y-x\|}{4}) \subset \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{x\}$

Alternatively, $\{x\} = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty}]x - \frac{1}{k}, x + \frac{1}{k}[\in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where:
 $\in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (open intervals) $]x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon[=]x_1 - \varepsilon, x_1 + \varepsilon[\times \dots \times]x_n - \varepsilon, x_n + \varepsilon[$

$\mathcal{L}^n(\{x\}) = 0$: $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \{x\} \subset]x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon[$, so by monotonicity of the measure \mathcal{L}^n :

$\mathcal{L}^n(\{x\}) \leq \mathcal{L}^n(]x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon[) = (2\varepsilon)^n$ for arbitrary small $\varepsilon > 0$.

(ii) \mathbb{Q} is countable and can be described as $\mathbb{Q} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \{q_k\} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$

By σ -subadditivity it implies: $\mathcal{L}^1(\mathbb{Q}) \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{L}^1(\{q_k\}) = 0$ $\in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ by (i)

(iii) We have $\mathbb{R}^n = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} [-k, k] \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ where $[-k, k] = \prod_{i=1}^n [-k, k] = [-k, k] \times \dots \times [-k, k]$

and in particular: $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, [-k, k] \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, so that by monotonicity:

$(2k)^n = \mathcal{L}^n([-k, k]) \leq \mathcal{L}^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which implies $\mathcal{L}^n(\mathbb{R}^n) = \infty$.
 $\rightarrow +\infty$ as $k \rightarrow +\infty$

A18: (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be fixed. We use Proposition 3.28:

for A : $\exists G \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open s.t. $A \subset G$ and $\mathcal{L}^n(G \setminus A) < \varepsilon$ (a)

for A^c : $\exists \tilde{G} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open s.t. $A^c \subset \tilde{G}$ and $\mathcal{L}^n(\tilde{G} \setminus A^c) < \varepsilon$ (b)

Note that by definition $(\forall B \subset \mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{L}^n(B) = \mathcal{L}^n(B \cap A) + \mathcal{L}^n(B \setminus A))$ $\Rightarrow A$ \mathcal{L}^n -measurable
 (b) $\Rightarrow A^c$ \mathcal{L}^n -measurable

Now let $F := \tilde{G}^c \subset A$ with F closed. We find:

$\mathcal{L}^n(A \setminus F) = \mathcal{L}^n(A \cap F^c) = \mathcal{L}^n(A \cap \tilde{G}) = \mathcal{L}^n(\tilde{G} \setminus A^c) < \varepsilon$ from (b), so we conclude with (a):

that: $\mathcal{L}^n(A \setminus F) + \mathcal{L}^n(G \setminus A) < 2\varepsilon$. (*)

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) Given that (*) holds $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, from $G \setminus F = (G \setminus A) \cup (A \setminus F)$, we see

by monotonicity that: $\mathcal{L}^n(G \setminus F) \leq \mathcal{L}^n(G \setminus A) + \mathcal{L}^n(A \setminus F) < 2\varepsilon$. (**)

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Given that (**) holds $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, from $G \setminus A \subset G \setminus F$ since $F \subset A$, we see

by monotonicity again that: $\mathcal{L}^n(G \setminus A) \leq \mathcal{L}^n(G \setminus F) < 2\varepsilon$; which is all there is to

prove to show that A is \mathcal{L}^n -measurable, according to Proposition 3.28.

A19: Vitali set: $\exists V \subset [0,1]$ s.t. $\begin{cases} (1) \forall x,y \in V, x+y \Rightarrow x-y \notin \mathbb{Q} \\ (2) \forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists z \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ s.t. } x-z \in V \end{cases}$

Existence of V may be ensured by the axiom of choice as $V = \{[x] : x \in [0,1]\} = \mathbb{R}/\nu$ where $y \in [x] \Leftrightarrow y \sim x \Leftrightarrow x-y \in \mathbb{Q}$.

We only use the following properties for the measure \mathcal{L}^1 :

- (11) $\forall (A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ pairwise disjoint \mathcal{L}^n -measurable subsets: $\mathcal{L}^n\left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k\right) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{L}^n(A_k)$ (σ -additivity)
- (12) A congruent to $B \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{L}^n(A) = \mathcal{L}^n(B)$ } Def.: A and B congruent iff $B = f(A)$ with f affine s.t. $f(x) = Ax + b$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $A \in \mathcal{O}(n)$
- (13) $\mathcal{L}^1([0,1]) = 1$

By contradiction, we suppose V is \mathcal{L}^1 -measurable. Let $\mathbb{Q} \cap (-1,1) = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \{q_k\}$ and $V_k = q_k + V$. V measurable (w.r.t. \mathcal{L}^1) $\xrightarrow{(12)}$ V_k \mathcal{L}^1 -measurable $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.

•) $(V_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ pairwise disjoint: If $q_k + v_1 = q_l + v_2 \in V_k \cap V_l$, then $v_2 - v_1 = q_k - q_l \in \mathbb{Q}$ and $v_2 - v_1 \in \mathbb{Q} \xrightarrow{(1)} v_1 = v_2 \Rightarrow q_k = q_l$ i.e. $k=l$. ccl.: $V_k \cap V_l \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow k=l$

•) $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$, V_k is \mathcal{L}^1 -measurable, so $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} V_k$ is \mathcal{L}^1 -measurable and:
 $[0,1] \subset \bigcup_{(a) k \in \mathbb{N}} V_k \subset [-1,2] : \text{(b)}: V_k = q_k + V \subset (-1,1) + [0,1] \subset (-1,2); \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$

(a): Let $x \in [0,1]$. From (2), $\exists z \in \mathbb{Q}$ s.t. $x-z =: v \in V$, but $z = \underbrace{x - v}_{\in [0,1]} \in (-1,1)$, so $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. $z = q_k$ and $x = q_k + v \in V_k$.

•) On the one hand: $\mathcal{L}^1\left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} V_k\right) \stackrel{(11)}{=} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{L}^1(V_k) \stackrel{(12)}{=} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{L}^1(V) \in \{0, \infty\}$. (α)

and on the other, by monotonicity (implied by (11)):

$$1 \stackrel{(13)}{=} \mathcal{L}^1([0,1]) \leq \mathcal{L}^1\left(\bigcup_{(a) k \in \mathbb{N}} V_k\right) \leq \mathcal{L}^1([-1,2]) \stackrel{(11)}{\leq} \mathcal{L}^1([-1,0]) + \mathcal{L}^1([0,1]) + \mathcal{L}^1([1,2]) \stackrel{(12)}{=} 3 \mathcal{L}^1([0,1]) \stackrel{(13)}{=} 3.$$

i.e. $\mathcal{L}^1\left(\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} V_k\right) \in [1,3]$ (β). But (α) and (β) are contradictory

ccl.: V is not \mathcal{L}^1 -measurable

A20: The Cantor set is defined as $C = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} A_k$ where A_k can recursively

be defined as: $A_0 = [0, 1]$, $A_{k+1} = \frac{1}{3} A_k \cup \left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3} A_k \right)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$
disjoint

In particular by σ -additivity of \mathcal{L}^1 (Vol):

$$\mathcal{L}^1(A_{k+1}) = \mathcal{L}^1\left(\frac{1}{3} A_k\right) + \mathcal{L}^1\left(\frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{3} A_k\right) = \frac{2}{3} \mathcal{L}^1(A_k), \text{ whence } \mathcal{L}^1(A_k) = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^k.$$

invariance by translation / dilation

Alternatively, one may prove by induction that A_k is the union of 2^k intervals of length 3^{-k} ,

P(1): $A_1 = [0, \frac{1}{3}] \cup [\frac{2}{3}, 1]$: 2 intervals of length $\frac{1}{3}$

P(k) \Rightarrow P(k+1): for every of the 2^k interval of A_k , we split it in 3 and remove the middle part, leaving 2 more intervals of $\frac{1}{3}$ of the initial length; that is $2 \cdot 2^k = 2^{k+1}$ intervals of length $\frac{1}{3} \cdot 3^{-k} = 3^{-(k+1)}$.

In any case, by monotonicity: $C \subset A_k, \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow \mathcal{L}^1(C) \leq \mathcal{L}^1(A_k) = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow +\infty]{} 0$

cc1 $\mathcal{L}^1(C) = 0$

Rk: (One may prove that $C \simeq \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cdot 3^{-k} : a_k \in \{0, 2\} \right\} \simeq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is uncountable
bijection)