remarked that every time I touched it the fluid in the electrometer rose, indicating an increase of temperature, and implying also an increase of conducting power in the metal thus touched. I found that this was owing to a reduction of its temperature; for on subsequently moistening it with ether, water, &c., or by blowing upon it, the fluid rose in the electrometer as the temperature was reduced, whilst the application of a spirit-lamp to increase the temperature of the wire produced a corresponding fall in the thermometer. Two electrometers were subsequently employed in circuit, the same current passing consecutively through them. To one of the electrometers a second battery was applied. The result was an increase of temperature of the included wire; and I discovered that, by raising or lowering the second battery so as to gradually increase or diminish the temperature of one of the wires, the fluid as it rose and fell in that electrometer gave rise to a reverse motion of the fluid in the other, so that as one rose the other fell, and vice versa.

Although these experiments were made more than thirty years since, I am induced to believe that they may still appear novel to some, since, in a conversation a short time since with one of the first electricians of the day, he would scarcely credit them, alleging that they were contrary to all our experience; they must, however, be taken as indicating only the results due to the peculiar arrangements and conditions herein described.

V. Illustrations of the Dynamical Theory of Gases.—Part 1. On the Motions and Collisions of Perfectly Elastic Spheres. By J. C. Maxwell, M.A., Professor of Natural Philosophy in Marischal College and University of Aberdeen*.

So many of the properties of matter, especially when in the gaseous form, can be deduced from the hypothesis that their minute parts are in rapid motion, the velocity increasing with the temperature, that the precise nature of this motion becomes a subject of rational curiosity. Daniel Bernouilli, Herapath, Joule, Krönig, Clausius, &c. have shown that the relations between pressure, temperature, and density in a perfect gas can be explained by supposing the particles to move with uniform velocity in straight lines, striking against the sides of the containing vessel and thus producing pressure. It is not necessary to suppose each particle to travel to any great distance in the same straight line; for the effect in producing pressure will be the same if the particles strike against each other; so that the straight line described may be very short. M. Clausius has determined the mean length of path in terms of the average distance

^{*} Communicated by the Author, having been read at the Meeting of the British Association at Aberdeen, September 21, 1859.

of the particles, and the distance between the centres of two particles when collision takes place. We have at present no means of ascertaining cither of these distances; but certain phænomena, such as the internal friction of gases, the conduction of heat through a gas, and the diffusion of one gas through another, seem to indicate the possibility of determining accurately the mean length of path which a particle describes between two successive collisions. In order to lay the foundation of such investigations on strict mechanical principles, I shall demonstrate the laws of motion of an indefinite number of small, hard, and perfectly elastic spheres acting on one another only during impact.

If the properties of such a system of bodies are found to correspond to those of gases, an important physical analogy will be established, which may lead to more accurate knowledge of the properties of matter. If experiments on gases are inconsistent with the hypothesis of these propositions, then our theory, though consistent with itself, is proved to be incapable of explaining the phænomena of gases. In either case it is necessary

to follow out the consequences of the hypothesis.

Instead of saying that the particles are hard, spherical, and elastic, we may if we please say that the particles are centres of force, of which the action is insensible except at a certain small distance, when it suddenly appears as a repulsive force of very great intensity. It is evident that either assumption will lead to the same results. For the sake of avoiding the repetition of a long phrase about these repulsive forces, I shall proceed upon the assumption of perfectly elastic spherical bodies. If we suppose those aggregate molecules which move together to have a bounding surface which is not spherical, then the rotatory motion of the system will store up a certain proportion of the whole vis viva, as has been shown by Clausius, and in this way we may account for the value of the specific heat being greater than on the more simple hypothesis.

On the Motion and Collision of Perfectly Elastic Spheres.

Prop. I. Two spheres moving in opposite directions with velocities inversely as their masses strike one another; to determine their motions after impact.

Newtonian

Let P and Q be the position of the centres at impact; A P, B Q the directions and magnitudes of the velocities before impact; P a, Q b the same after impact; then, resolving the velocities parallel and perpendicular to P Q the line of cen-

Newtonian methods a NA

tres, we find that the velocities parallel to the line of centres are


Note speculative tone.

Hence we are in center of mass coordinates.

Prior Art: Rudolf Clausius

"On the Kind (Norture? German "Art") of motion which we call heat" 1857

u... no doubt that the greatest possible variety exists among the velocities of several mollinles. In our considerations, however, we may ascribe a certain mean velocity to all molecules...



n molecules of mass m speed U, Uniformly distributed due all directions

Effect of Collision with the walls

$$\frac{3}{2} p v = \frac{n m u^2}{2}$$

translatory
motion of
the
molecules

"Mariotle's e Goy-Lussac's lows"

therefore nmu2 = T. ant

exactly reversed, while those perpendicular to that line are unchanged. Compounding these velocities again, we find that the velocity of each ball is the same before and after impact, and that the directions before and after impact lie in the same plane with the line of centres, and make equal angles with it.

Prop. II. To find the probability of the direction of the velo-

city after impact lying between given limits.

In order that a collision may take place, the line of motion of one of the balls must pass the centre of the other at a distance less than the sum of their radii; that is, it must pass through a circle whose centre is that of the other ball, and radius (s) the sum of the radii of the balls. Within this circle every position is equally probable, and therefore the probability of the distance from the centre being between r and r+dr is

$$\frac{2rdr}{s^2}$$
.

Now let ϕ be the angle ΛPa between the original direction and the direction after impact, then $\Lambda PN = \frac{1}{2}\phi$, and $r = s \sin \frac{1}{2}\phi$, and the probability becomes

 $\frac{1}{2}\sin\phi d\phi$.

The area of a spherical zone between the angles of polar distance ϕ and $\phi + d\phi$ is

 $2\pi\sin\phi\,d\phi$;

therefore if ω be any small area on the surface of a sphere, radius unity, the probability of the direction of rebound passing through this area is


 $\frac{\omega}{4\pi}$;

so that the probability is independent of ϕ , that is, all directions of rebound are equally likely.

Prop. III. Given the direction and magnitude of the velocities of two spheres before impact, and the line of centres at im-

pact; to find the velocities after impact.

Let O A, O B represent the velocities before impact, so that if there had been no action between the bodies they would have



been at Λ and Batthe end of a second. Join Λ B, and let G be their centre of gravity, the position of which is not affected by their mutual action. Draw G N parallel to the line of centres at impact (not necessarily in the plane Λ O B). Draw a G b in the

First mention probability.

plane AGN, making NG a = NGA, and Ga = GA and Gb = GB; then by Prop. I. G a and G b will be the velocities relative to G: and compounding these with OG, we have Oa and Ob for the

true velocities after impact.

By Prop. II. all directions of the line a G b are equally probable. It appears therefore that the velocity after impact is compounded of the velocity of the centre of gravity, and of a velocity equal to the velocity of the sphere relative to the centre of gravity, which may with equal probability be in any direction whatever.

If a great many equal spherical particles were in motion in a perfectly elastic vessel, collisions would take place among the particles, and their velocities would be altered at every collision; so that after a certain time the vis viva will be divided among the particles according to some regular law, the average number of particles whose velocity lies between certain limits being ascertainable, though the velocity of each particle changes at every collision.

Prop. IV. To find the average number of particles whose velocities lie between given limits, after a great number of collisions

among a great number of equal particles.

Let N be the whole number of particles. Let x, y, z be the components of the velocity of each particle in three rectangular directions, and let the number of particles for which x lies between x and x + dx be Nf(x)dx, where f(x) is a function of x to be determined.

The number of particles for which y lies between y and y + dywill be Nf(y)dy; and the number for which z lies between z and z+dz will be Nf(z)dz, where f always stands for the same function.

Now the existence of the velocity x does not in any way affect that of the velocities y or z, since these are all at right angles to cach other and independent, so that the number of particles whose velocity lies between x and x + dx, and also between y and y + dy, and also between z and z + dz, is

$$Nf(x) f(y) f(z) dx dy dz$$
.

If we suppose the N particles to start from the origin at the same instant, then this will be the number in the element of volume (dx dy dz) after unit of time, and the number referred to unit of volume will be

$$Nf(x) f(y) f(z)$$
.

Assumption of But the directions of the coordinates are perfectly arbitrary, and therefore this number must depend on the distance from the origin alone, that is

$$f(x) f(y) f(z) = \phi(x^2 + y^2 + z^2).$$

Major assumption Solving this functional equation, we find

$$f(x) = Ce^{Ax^2}, \quad \phi(r^2) = C^3e^{Ar^2}.$$

If we make A positive, the number of particles will increase with the velocity, and we should find the whole number of particles infinite. We therefore make A negative and equal to

 $-\frac{1}{a^2}$, so that the number between x and x+dx is

$$NCe^{-\frac{x^2}{\alpha^2}}dx$$
.

Integrating from $x = -\infty$ to $x = +\infty$, we find the whole number of particles,

$$NC \sqrt{\pi}\alpha = N$$
, $C = \frac{1}{\alpha \sqrt{\pi}}$

f(x) is therefore

$$\frac{1}{\alpha\sqrt{\pi}}e^{-\frac{x^2}{\alpha^2}}.$$

Whence we may draw the following conclusions:-

1st. The number of particles whose velocity, resolved in a certain direction, lies between x and x + dx is

$$N \frac{1}{\alpha \sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{\alpha^2}} dx. \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad (1)$$

2nd. The number whose actual velocity lies between v and v + dv is

The Maxwell

$$N \frac{4}{\alpha^3 \sqrt{\pi}} v^2 e^{-\frac{v^2}{\alpha^2}} dv. \qquad (2)$$

3rd. To find the mean value of v, add the velocities of all the particles together and divide by the number of particles; the result is

mean velocity =
$$\frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}}$$
. (3)

4th. To find the mean value of v^2 , add all the values together and divide by N,

mean value of $v^2 = \frac{5}{2}\alpha^2$ (4)

This is greater than the square of the mean velocity, as it ought to be.

It appears from this proposition that the velocities are distributed among the particles according to the same law as the errors are distributed among the observations in the theory of the "method of least squares." The velocities range from 0 to ∞ , but the number of those having great velocities is comparatively small. In addition to these velocities, which are in all directions equally, there may be a general motion of translation

Derivation of Prop IV. maxwell velocity distribution

number in x to xtdx Nf(x) dx y to ytdy Nf(y) dy 3 to 3+d3 Nf(3) d3

Important assumption

f(x) is independent
ef 9,3. Etc

Justification??

NB x, y, z velocities intermingle

on collisions, so why

aren't they correlated?

Apply isotropy disamption agein (see below)

Assumption Velocity distribution settles down to time independent distribution

Assumption
Isotropy: suml
distribution in all
three directions

Maxwell is following derivation of error (haussion) distribution 7.0,8, ... are posited as independent errors (see Gyenis, "traxwell ... " ar Xiv: 1702.0141/v1)



$$f(x)f(y)f(3) = \phi(x^2+y^2+3^2)$$



Solve the functional equation (see below)

$$f(x) = Cexp(Ax^2)$$
must be negative

must be negative. Set to -1/2

$$\phi(r^2) = c^3 \exp(Ar^2)$$

$$\chi^2 + g^2 + z^2$$



Normalize ne integrals

Number of particles = $N = \frac{1}{\sqrt{11}} \exp(-\frac{\chi^2}{\alpha^2}) dx$ In x to xtdx

Number of perfides with = $N = \frac{4}{x^3 \text{ FT}} v^2 \exp(-\frac{v^2}{a^2}) dv$ scalar velocities $v = \sqrt{\frac{4}{3}} v^2 \exp(-\frac{v^2}{a^2}) dv$

where w= xtytz:

Mean of the squared
$$v^2 = \frac{3}{2}x^2$$
 velocity $v^2 = \frac{3}{2}x^2$

Compare modern results:

becomes
$$\frac{1}{2} m \overline{U^2} = \frac{1}{2} m \left(\frac{3}{2} \alpha^2\right) = \frac{1}{2} m \cdot \frac{3}{2} \cdot \frac{2kT}{m}$$

Mean
$$|x| = \frac{3}{2} kT$$

$$|x| = \frac{3}{$$

compose with Clausizes' $n m u^2 = T. const$

Applying isatropy of velocities

Number particles
in x+dx, y+dy, 3+ds
tox toy tos

= N f(x) f(y) f(3) dx dy dz

must be invariant under rotation of x,4,3 wordinates about the origin (x,4,3) = (0,0,0)

Pittorial representation: spherical symmetry about origin in velocity space



Density of portides

f(x) f(y) f(s)

depends only on the
radial coordinate

r² = x²+g²+z²

f(x) f(y) f(3)= $\phi(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)$

from same computation

Solving the functional equation $f(x) f(y) f(3) = \phi(x^2 + y^2 + 3^2)$

Take the a-derivative

$$f'(x) f(y) f(z) = \phi'(x^2 + y^2 + z^2).2x$$

$$\frac{df(x)}{dx}$$

 $\frac{f'(x)}{2x f(x)} = \frac{\phi'(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)}{f(x) f(y) f(z)} = \frac{\phi'(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)}{\phi(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)} = \frac{f'(y)}{2y f(y)} = \frac{f'(z)}{2z^2 f(z)}$

must be a constant ((A))
independent of x, y, z. A

$$\frac{f(x)}{f(x)} = 2xA$$

:. $\frac{d}{dx} \ln f(x) = \frac{d}{dx} Ax^2$:. $f(x) = \Omega p(Ax^2) + const.$

:.
$$f(x) = C \exp(Ax^2)$$

and similarly for $f(x)$, $f(x)$

of the entire system of particles which must be compounded with the motion of the particles relatively to one another. We may call the one the motion of translation, and the other the motion of agitation.

Prop. V. Two systems of particles move each according to the law stated in Prop. IV.; to find the number of pairs of particles, one of each system, whose relative velocity lies between given limits.

Let there be N particles of the first system, and N' of the second, then NN' is the whole number of such pairs. Let us consider the velocities in the direction of x only; then by Prop. IV. the number of the first kind, whose velocities are between x and x+dx, is

$$N \frac{1}{\alpha \sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{\alpha^2}} dx,$$

The number of the second kind, whose velocity is between x + y and x + y + dy, is

$$N^{l} \frac{1}{\beta \sqrt{\pi}} \epsilon^{-\frac{(x+y)^2}{\beta^2}} dy$$

where β is the value of α for the second system.

The number of pairs which fulfil both conditions is

$$NN' \frac{1}{\alpha \beta \pi} e^{-\left(\frac{x^2}{\alpha^2} + \frac{(x+y)^2}{\beta^2}\right)} dx dy.$$

Now x may have any value from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ consistently with the difference of velocities being between y and y+dy; therefore integrating between these limits, we find

$$NN' \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2} \sqrt{\pi}} \epsilon^{-\frac{y^2}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}} dy \quad . \quad . \quad . \quad (5)$$

for the whole number of pairs whose difference of velocity lies

between y and y + dy.

This expression, which is of the same form with (1) if we put NN' for N, $\alpha^2 + \beta^2$ for α^2 , and y for x, shows that the distribution of relative velocities is regulated by the same law as that of the velocities themselves, and that the mean relative velocity is the square root of the sum of the squares of the mean velocities of the two systems.

Since the direction of motion of every particle in one of the systems may be reversed without changing the distribution of velocities, it follows that the velocities compounded of the velocities of two particles, one in each system, are distributed according to the same formula (5) as the relative velocities.


Prop. VI. Two systems of particles move in the same vessel;

to prove that the mean vis viva of each particle will become the

same in the two systems.

Let P be the mass of each particle of the first system, Q that of each particle of the second. Let p, q be the mean velocities

in the two systems before impact, and let p', q' be the mean velocities after one impact. Let $A \cap B$ and $A \cap B$ be a right angle; then, by Prop. V., $A \cap B$ will be the mean relative velocity, $A \cap B$ of will be the mean velocity of centre of gravity; and drawing $A \cap B$ at right angles to $A \cap B$ of and $A \cap B$ of $A \cap B$ and $A \cap B$ of $A \cap B$ and $A \cap B$ of $A \cap B$ will be the mean velocity of $A \cap B$ after impact.



Now

$$AB = \sqrt{p^2 + q^2}, AG = \frac{Q}{P + Q} \sqrt{p^2 + q^2}, BG = \frac{P}{P + Q} \sqrt{p^2 + q^2},$$

$$OG = \frac{\sqrt{P^2 p^2 + Q^2 q^2}}{P + Q},$$

therefore

$$p' = Oa = \frac{\sqrt{Q^2(p^2 + q^2) + P^2p^2 + Q^2q^2}}{P + Q}$$

and

$$q' = Ob = \frac{\sqrt{P^2(p^2 + q^2) + P^2p^2 + Q^2q^2}}{P + Q},$$

and

$$Pp'^2 - Qq'^2 = \left(\frac{P - Q}{P + Q}\right)^2 (Pp^2 - Qq^2).$$
 (6)

It appears therefore that the quantity $Pp^2 - Qq^2$ is diminished at every impact in the same ratio, so that after many impacts it will vanish, and then

 $\mathbf{P}p^2 = \mathbf{Q}q^2.$

Now the mean vis viva is $\frac{3}{2} P\alpha^2 = \frac{3\pi}{8} Pp^2$ for P, and $\frac{3\pi}{8} Qq^2$ for Ω , and it is manifest that these quantities will be equal when

Q; and it is manifest that these quantities will be equal when

 $Pp^2 = Qq^2$.

If any number of different kinds of particles, having masses P, Q, R, and velocities p, q, r respectively, move in the same vessel, then after many impacts

$$Pp^2 = Qq^2 = Rr^2$$
, &c. (7)

Prop. VII. A particle moves with velocity r relatively to a number of particles of which there are N in unit of volume; to

find the number of these which it approaches within a distance s in unit of time.

If we describe a tubular surface of which the axis is the path of the particle, and the radius the distance s, the content of this surface generated in unit of time will be $\pi r s^2$, and the number of particles included in it will be

$$N\pi rs^2$$
, (8)

which is the number of particles to which the moving particle

approaches within a distance s.

Prop. VIII. A particle moves with velocity v in a system moving according to the law of Prop. IV.; to find the number of particles which have a velocity relative to the moving particle between r and r+dr.

Let u be the actual velocity of a particle of the system, v that of the original particle, and r their relative velocity, and θ the angle between v and r, then

$$u^2 = v^2 + r^2 - 2vr \cos \theta$$
.

If we suppose, as in Prop. IV., all the particles to start from the origin at once, then after unit of time the "density" or number of particles to unit of volume at distance u will be

$$N \frac{1}{\alpha^3 \pi^{\frac{3}{2}}} e^{-\frac{u^2}{\alpha^2}}.$$

From this we have to deduce the number of particles in a shell whose centre is at distance v, radius = r, and thickness = dr,

$$N \frac{1}{\alpha \sqrt{r}} \frac{r}{v} \left\{ e^{-\frac{(r-v)^2}{\alpha^2}} - e^{-\frac{(r+v)^2}{\alpha^2}} \right\} dr, \quad . \quad . \quad (9)$$

which is the number required.

Cor. It is evident that if we integrate this expression from r=0 to $r=\infty$, we ought to get the whole number of particles =N, whence the following mathematical result,

$$\int_0^\infty dx \cdot x (e^{-\frac{(x-a)^2}{\alpha^2}} - e^{-\frac{(x+a)^2}{\alpha^2}}) = \sqrt{\pi} a\alpha. \quad . \quad . \quad (10)$$

Prop. IX. Two sets of particles move as in Prop. V.; to find the number of pairs which approach within a distance s in unit of time.

The number of the second kind which have a velocity between v and v + dv is

$$N' \frac{4}{\beta^3 \sqrt{\pi}} v^2 e^{-\frac{v^2}{\beta^2}} dv = n'.$$

The number of the first kind whose velocity relative to these is

between r and r + dr is

$$N \frac{1}{\alpha \sqrt{\pi}} \frac{r}{v} \left(e^{-\frac{(r-v)^2}{\alpha^2}} - e^{-\frac{(r+v)^2}{\alpha^2}} \right) dr = n,$$

and the number of pairs which approach within distance s in unit of time is

$$n n' \pi r s^2$$
,

$$= \operatorname{NN}' \frac{4}{\alpha \beta^3} \, s^2 r^2 v \, e^{-\frac{v^2}{\beta}} \Big\{ e^{-\frac{(v-r)^2}{\alpha^2}} - e^{-\frac{(v+r)^2}{\alpha^2}} \Big\} dr \, dv.$$

By the last proposition we are able to integrate with respect to v, and gct

NN'
$$\frac{4\sqrt{\pi}}{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} s^2 r^3 e^{-\frac{r^2}{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}} dr$$
.

Integrating this again from r=0 to $r=\infty$,

$$2NN'\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{\alpha^2+\beta^2}s^2 (11)$$

is the number of collisions in unit of time which take place in unit of volume between particles of different kinds, s being the distance of centres at collision. The number of collisions between two particles of the first kind, s_1 being the striking distance, is

$$2N^2\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{2\alpha^2}s_1^2;$$

and for the second system it is

$$2N^{\prime 2}\sqrt{\pi}\sqrt{2\beta^2}s_2^2$$
.

The mean velocities in the two systems are $\frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}}$ and $\frac{2\beta}{\sqrt{\pi}}$; so

that if l_1 and l_2 be the mean distances travelled by particles of the first and second systems between each collision, then

$$\frac{1}{l_1} = \pi N_1 \sqrt{2} s_1^2 + \pi N_2 \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}}{\alpha} s^2,$$

$$\frac{1}{l_2} = \pi N_1 \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}}{\beta} s^2 + \pi N_2 \sqrt{2} s_2^2.$$

Prop. X. To find the probability of a particle reaching a

given distance before striking any other.

Let us suppose that the probability of a particle being stopped while passing through a distance dx, is αdx ; that is, if N particles arrived at a distance x, $N\alpha dx$ of them would be stopped before getting to a distance x + dx. Putting this mathematically,

$$\frac{dN}{dx} = -N\alpha$$
, or $N = Ce^{-\alpha x}$.

Putting N=1 when x=0, we find $e^{-\alpha x}$ for the probability of a particle not striking another before it reaches a distance x.

The mean distance travelled by each particle before striking is $\frac{1}{\alpha} = l$. The probability of a particle reaching a distance = nl without being struck is e^{-n} . (See a paper by M. Clausius, Philosophical Magazine, February 1859.)

If all the particles are at rest but one, then the value of α is

$$\alpha = \pi s^2 N$$
,

where s is the distance between the centres at collision, and N is the number of particles in unit of volume. If v be the velocity of the moving particle relatively to the rest, then the number of collisions in unit of time will be

$$v\pi s^2 N$$
;

and if v_1 be the actual velocity, then the number will be $v_1\alpha$; therefore

$$\alpha = \frac{v}{v_1} \pi s^2 N,$$

where v_1 is the actual velocity of the striking particle, and v its velocity relatively to those it strikes. If v_2 be the actual velocity of the other particles, then $v = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2}$. If $v_1 = v_2$, then $v = \sqrt{2}v_1$, and

 $\alpha = \sqrt{2}\pi s^2 N.$

Note.—M. Clausius makes $\alpha = \frac{4}{3}\pi s^2 N$.

Prop. XI. In a mixture of particles of two different kinds, to

find the mean path of each particle.

Let there be N_1 of the first, and N_2 of the second in unit of volume. Let s_1 be the distance of centres for a collision between two particles of the first set, s_2 for the second set, and s' for collision between one of each kind. Let v_1 and v_2 be the coefficients of velocity, $M_1 M_2$ the mass of each particle.

The probability of a particle M_1 not being struck till after reaching a distance x_1 by another particle of the same kind is

$$e^{-\sqrt{2\pi}s_1^2N_1x}$$

The probability of not being struck by a particle of the other kind in the same distance is

$$e^{-\sqrt{1+\frac{v_2^2}{v_1^2}\pi s'^2 N_2 r}}.$$

Therefore the probability of not being struck by any particle before reaching a distance x is

$$e^{-\pi \left(\sqrt{2}\,s_1^{\,2}{\rm N}_1+\,\,\sqrt{1+\frac{v_2^2}{v_1^{\,2}}}s'^2{\rm N}_2\right)x}\;;$$

and if l1 be the mean distance for a particle of the first kind,

$$\frac{1}{l_1} = \sqrt{2}\pi s_1^2 N_1 + \pi \sqrt{1 + \frac{v_2^2}{v_1^2}} s^{l_2} N_2. \quad . \quad (12)$$

Similarly, if l_2 be the mean distance for a particle of the second kind,

$$\frac{1}{l_2} = \sqrt{2}\pi s_2^2 N_2 + \pi \sqrt{1 + \frac{v_1^2}{v_2^2}} s^{l_2} N_1. \quad . \quad (13)$$

The mean density of the particles of the first kind is $N_1M_1=\rho_1$, and that of the second $N_2M_2=\rho_2$. If we put

$$A = \sqrt{2} \frac{\pi s_1^2}{M_1}, \quad B = \pi \sqrt{1 + \frac{v_2^2}{v_1^2}} \frac{s'^2}{M_2}, \quad C = \pi \sqrt{1 + \frac{v_1^2}{v_2^2}} \frac{s'^1}{M_1},$$

$$D = \sqrt{2} \frac{\pi s_2}{M_2}, \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{1}{l_1} = A\rho_1 + B\rho_2, \quad \frac{1}{l_2} = C\rho_1 + D\rho^2, \quad \dots \quad (15)$$

and

$$\frac{B}{C} = \frac{M_1 v_2}{M_2 v_1} = \frac{v_2^3}{v_1^3}.$$
 (16)

Prop. XII. To find the pressure on unit of area of the side of the vessel due to the impact of the particles upon it.

Let N = number of particles in unit of volume;

M = mass of each particle;

v =velocity of each particle;

l = mean path of each particle;

The number of collisions of these particles in unit of time is

$$Ndz\frac{v}{l}$$
. (18)

The number of particles, which after collision reach a distance between nl and (n+dn)l, is

$$N \frac{v}{l} e^{-n} dz dn. \qquad (19)$$

The proportion of these which strike on unit of area at distance z is nl-z

 $\frac{nl-z}{2nl}; \qquad \dots \qquad \dots \qquad (20)$

the mean velocity of these in the direction of z is

$$v \frac{nl+z}{2nl}. \qquad . \qquad . \qquad . \qquad . \qquad . \qquad (21)$$

Multiplying together (19), (20), and (21), and M, we find the momentum at impact

MN
$$\frac{v^2}{4n^2l^3}(n^2l^2-z^2)e^{-n}\,dz\,dn$$
.

Integrating with respect to z from 0 to nl, we get

$$\frac{1}{6}$$
 M N v^2 ne^{-n} dn .

Integrating with respect to n from 0 to ∞ , we get

$$\frac{1}{6}$$
MN v^2

for the momentum in the direction of z of the striking particles; the momentum of the particles after impact is the same, but in the opposite direction; so that the whole pressure on unit of area is twice this quantity, or

Same as Clausius 1857.
$$p = \frac{1}{5} MNv^2$$
. (22)

This value of p is independent of l the length of path. In applying this result to the theory of gases, we put $MN = \rho$, and $v^2 = 3k$, and then

$$p = k\rho$$

which is Boyle and Mariotte's law. By (4) we have

$$v^2 = \frac{5}{6}\alpha^2$$
, $\therefore \alpha^2 = 2k$ (23)

We have seen that, on the hypothesis of elastic particles moving in straight lines, the pressure of a gas can be explained by the assumption that the square of the velocity is proportional directly to the absolute temperature, and inversely to the specific gravity of the gas at constant temperature, so that at the same pressure and temperature the value of NMv^2 is the same for all gases. But we found in Prop. VI. that when two sets of particles communicate agitation to one another, the value of Mv^2 is the same in each. From this it appears that N, the number of particles in unit of volume, is the same for all gases at the same pressure and temperature. This result agrees with the chemical law, that equal volumes of gases are chemically equivalent.

We have next to determine the value of *l*, the mean length of the path of a particle between consecutive collisions. The most direct method of doing this depends upon the fact, that when different strata of a gas slide upon one another with different velocities, they act upon one another with a tangential force tending to prevent this sliding, and similar in its results to the friends.

ing to prevent this sliding, and similar in its results to the friction between two solid surfaces sliding over each other in the same way. The explanation of gaseous friction, according to our hypothesis, is, that particles having the mean velocity of translation belonging to one layer of the gas, pass out of it into another layer having a different velocity of translation; and by striking against the particles of the second layer, exert upon it

Avogadro's hypothesis

a tangential force which constitutes the internal friction of the gas. The whole friction between two portions of gas separated by a plane surface, depends upon the total action between all the layers on the one side of that surface upon all the layers on the other side.

Prop. XIII. To find the internal friction in a system of moving particles.

Let the system be divided into layers parallel to the plane of xy, and let the motion of translation of each layer be u in the direction of x, and let u=A+Bz. We have to consider the mutual action between the layers on the positive and negative sides of the plane xy. Let us first determine the action between two layers dz and dz', at distances z and -z' on opposite sides of this plane, each unit of area. The number of particles which, starting from dz in unit of time, reach a distance between nl and (n+dn)l is by (19),

$$N \frac{v}{7} e^{-n} dz dn$$
.

The number of these which have the ends of their paths in the layer dz' is

$$N \frac{v}{2nl^2} e^{-n} dz dz' dn.$$

The mean velocity in the direction of x which each of these has before impact is A+Bz, and after impact A+Bz'; and its mass is M, so that a mean momentum =MB(z-z') is communicated by each particle. The whole action due to these collisions is therefore

$$NMB \frac{v}{2nl^2} (z-z')e^{-n} dz dz' dn.$$

We must first integrate with respect to z' between z'=0 and z'=z-nl; this gives

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{NMB} \, \frac{v}{2nl^2} \, (n^2 l^2 - z^2) e^{-n} \, dz \, dn$$

for the action between the layer dz and all the layers below the plane xy. Then integrate from z=0 to z=nl,

$$\frac{1}{6}$$
MNB lvn^2e^{-n} dn .

Integrate from n=0 to $n=\infty$, and we find the whole friction between unit of area above and below the plane to be

$$\mathbf{F} = \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{N} l v \mathbf{B} = \frac{1}{3} \rho l v \frac{du}{dz} = \mu \frac{du}{dz},$$

where μ is the ordinary coefficient of internal friction,

$$\mu = \frac{1}{3}\rho l v = \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \frac{Mv}{\pi s^2}, \qquad (24)$$

where ρ is the density, l the mean length of path of a particle,

and
$$v$$
 the mean velocity $v = \frac{2\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}} = 2\sqrt{\frac{2k}{\pi}}$,
$$l = \frac{5}{2} \frac{\mu}{\rho} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2k}}. \qquad (25)$$

Now Professor Stokes finds by experiments on air,

$$\sqrt{\frac{\bar{\mu}}{\bar{\rho}}} = \cdot 116.$$

If we suppose \sqrt{k} =930 feet per second for air at 60°, and therefore the mean velocity v=1505 feet per second, then the value of l, the mean distance travelled over by a particle between consecutive collisions, $=\frac{1}{447000}$ th of an inch, and each particle makes 8,077,200,000 collisions per second.

A remarkable result here presented to us in equation (24), is that if this explanation of gaseous friction be true, the coefficient of friction is independent of the density. Such a consequence of a mathematical theory is very startling, and the only experiment I have met with on the subject does not seem to confirm it. We must next compare our theory with what is known of the diffusion of gases, and the conduction of heat through a gas.

[To be continued.]

VI. On the different States of Silicic Acid. By M. H. Rose*.

NUMEROUS determinations of the density of silicic acid, and especially those of Count Schaffgotsch, prove that there exist two distinct modifications of this acid, one of which has a density of 2.6, whilst in the other the density rises to 2.2, or 2.3. The first is always crystallized, or more or less crystal-

line, the second always amorphous.

Crystallized silica is found not only in rock-crystal, quartz, amethyst, sandstone, and quartzose sand, but also in a great number of the varieties of silica, in appearance compact, but really formed of an aggregation of crystalline particles, as their property of polarizing light proves—such are chalcedony, chrysoprase, jasper, flint, and certain siliceous woods. Some of these varieties may contain traces of water or foreign matter, which make their density vary a little, without, however, causing the same to fall below 2.6.

The chemical and physical properties of all these substances are exactly the same. If crystallized quartz seems to resist some-

^{*} The original memoir by Prof. H. Rose will be found in Poggendorff's Annalen, September 1859. The present abstract is translated from the Bibliothèque Universelle for Sept. 20th, 1859.

LONDON, EDINBURGH, AND DUBLIN

PHILOSOPHICAL MAGAZINE

AND

JOURNAL OF SCIENCE.

CONDUCTED BY

SIR DAVID BREWSTER, K.H. LL.D. F.R.S.L. & E. &c. SIR ROBERT KANE, M.D., F.R.S., M.R.I.A. WILLIAM FRANCIS, Ph.D. F.L.S. F.R.A.S. F.C.S. JOHN TYNDALL, F.R.S. &c.

"Nec aranearum sane textus ideo melior quia ex se fila gignunt, nec noster vilior quia ex alienis libamus ut apes." JUST. LIPS. Polit. lib. i. cap. 1. Not.

VOL. XIX.—FOURTH SERIES.
JANUARY—JUNE, 1860.

LONDON.

TAYLOR AND FRANCIS, RED LION COURT, FLEET STREET,

Printers and Publishers to the University of London;

SOLD BY LONGMAN, GREEN, LONGMANS, AND ROBERTS; SIMPKIN, MARSHALL
AND CO.; WHITTAKER AND CO.; AND PIPER AND CO., LONDON:—

BY ADAM AND CHARLES BLACK, AND THOMAS CLARK,
EDINBURGH; SMITH AND SON, GLASGOW; HODGES

AND SMITH, DUBLIN; AND PUTNAM,

NEW YORK.