

		ISSN 0016-7037 Volume 72, Number 23 December 1, 2008	
<h1>Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta</h1> <p>JOURNAL OF THE GEOCHEMICAL SOCIETY AND THE METEORITICAL SOCIETY</p>			
Executive Editor: FRANK A. PETER		Editorial Manager: LINDA TROWER Editorial Assistants: KAREN KLEIN, KATHY SEVER	
Webmaster: ROBERT H. NICHOLS, JR. Production Manager: CHRIS ARIGA			
ASSOCIATE EDITORS: ROBERT C. ALLEN JEFFREY C. ALT YOH ANGEL CARLA ANTONI MARIAM ELI-MATTHEWS LAURE G. BRANDE THOMAS S. BRANDE JAY A. BRANDE ALAN D. BRANSON DAVID J. BRIDGER ROBERT C. BURGESS ROBERT H. BURKE THOMAS CHAPMAN ANNE COHEN DAVID R. COLE	JOHN CHAMBERLAIN CHRISTOPHER DAUGHERTY ZERENGO DEAN JAMES FARQUHAR FREDERICK A. FERRY SUSAN GRANTER JENNIFER R. HALL H. RODGER HARVEY GEORGE R. HILL GREGORY F. HURDOK JUDITH HURDOK JIN-KUO JUNG KAREN JOHNSON CLARK JOHNSON NIKOLAI KITA CHRISTIAN KREMER	RUSSELL KORTY STEPHAN M. KRAEMER S. KROGER ALEXANDER N. KROTT JAMES KROTT TYNKIN LEE GRIGORI A. LUGOV THOMAS J. LYONS MICHAEL E. MACKEY BERNARD MATTI JENNIFER MATHIAS JAMES McMAHON ANDREW MURPHY MARTIN A. MURPHY JACK J. MURPHY DAVID W. MURPHY	ALBERTO MICO BERNARD MICO HENRIK NIELSEN MARTIN NOVAK PETER A. O'DAY ERIC H. OHLER SCOTT PIERCE MARK RICHARDS W. URS RICHARDS EDWARD M. RILEY J. KELLY RUSSELL SARA S. RUSSELL F. J. RYAN JACQUES SCHOTT JEFFREY STEWART THOMAS J. STANLEY
Volume 72, Number 23		December 1, 2008	
Articles			
G. L. MACPHERSON, J. A. ROBERTS, J. M. BLAIR, M. A. TOWNSEND, D. A. FOWLE, K. R. BERNER: Increasing shallow groundwater CO ₂ and limestone weathering, Konza Prairie, USA		5581	
T. P. DING, S. H. TAN, L. SUN, L. H. WU, J. X. ZHOU, Z. Y. CHEN: Silicon isotope fractionation between rice plants and nutrient solution and its significance to the study of the silicon cycle		5600	
C. L. COCKRILL, P. L. WINDY, J. R. LLOYD, D. J. VAUGHAN: The oxidative dissolution of arsenopyrite (FeAsS) and enargite (Cu ₃ AsS ₄) by <i>Leptospirillum ferrooxidans</i>		5616	
J. COLOMBARI: Measurement of the pure dissolution rate constant of a mineral in water		5634	
E. M. GRIFFITH, E. A. SCHAUER, T. D. BOLLIN, A. PAYTAN: Characterization of calcium isotopes in natural and synthetic barite		5641	
M. S. A. HORSTWOOD, J. A. EVANS, J. MONTGOMERY: Determination of Sr isotopes in calcium phosphates using laser ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry and their application to archaeological tooth enamel		5659	
Y. UENO, S. ONO, D. RUMBLE, S. MARUYAMA: Quadruple sulfur isotope analysis of ca. 3.5 Ga Dresser Formation: New evidence for microbial sulfate reduction in the early Archean		5675	
M. PITTINI, F. GINNARI, L. CAMPANELLA, F. J. MILLERO: The effect of organic compounds in the oxidation kinetics of Cr(III) by H ₂ O ₂		5692	
T. M. EVANS, H. ST. C. O'NEILL, J. TUFF: The influence of melt composition on the partitioning of REEs, Y, Sc, Zr and Al between forsterite and melt in the system CMAS		5708	
T. REHFELDT, S. F. FOLLY, D. E. JACOB, R. W. CARLSON, D. LOWRY: Contrasting types of metasomatism in dunite, wehrlite and websterite xenoliths from Kimberley, South Africa		5722	
M. C. McCANTA, A. H. TREIMAN, M. D. DYAR, C. M. O'D. ALEXANDER, D. RUMBLE III, E. J. EISENE: The LaPaz Icefield 04840 meteorite: Mineralogy, metamorphism, and origin of an amphibole- and biotite-bearing R chondrite		5757	
Continued on outside back cover			

This article appeared in a journal published by Elsevier. The attached copy is furnished to the author for internal non-commercial research and education use, including for instruction at the authors institution and sharing with colleagues.

Other uses, including reproduction and distribution, or selling or licensing copies, or posting to personal, institutional or third party websites are prohibited.

In most cases authors are permitted to post their version of the article (e.g. in Word or Tex form) to their personal website or institutional repository. Authors requiring further information regarding Elsevier's archiving and manuscript policies are encouraged to visit:

<http://www.elsevier.com/copyright>



Response

Response to comment by I.C. Bourg on “Modeling sulfur isotope fractionation and differential diffusion during sulfate reduction in sediments of the Cariaco Basin”

by M.A. Donahue, J.P. Werne, C. Meile, and T.W. Lyons

Michael A. Donahue^a, Josef P. Werne^{a,b,*}, Christof Meile^c, Timothy W. Lyons^d

^a Large Lakes Observatory, University of Minnesota Duluth, 10 University Dr., 109 RLB, Duluth, MN 55812, USA

^b Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Minnesota Duluth, Duluth, MN 55812, USA

^c Department of Marine Science, University of Georgia, Athens, GA 30602, USA

^d Department of Earth Sciences, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521, USA

Received 10 July 2008; accepted in revised form 15 September 2008; available online 27 September 2008

We thank Bourg for his insightful comment, which provides a broader context for the role of isotopic mass dependence in solute diffusion in liquid water and the associated uncertainties. As he correctly notes, our square-root model (Donahue et al., 2008) most likely overestimates the mass dependence of solute diffusion coefficients. Our model is best viewed as an end-member, bracketing the upper limit of the impact that diffusion coefficients have on apparent sulfur isotope fractionation in sedimentary pore water systems. Indeed, if we follow Bourg's (2008) suggestion and vary β (from his Equation 3) between 0.5 (our square root model) and 0.05 (closer to the hydrodynamic model), the predicted fractionation due to diffusion ($\Delta\epsilon$) varies between maximum and minimum values of 9‰ and 0.9‰, respectively.

The approximately linear dependence of ϵ on β is a consequence of the exponential shape of the concentration versus depth profiles for $^{32}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ and $^{34}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ in the Cariaco Basin ($^{32}\text{SO}_4^{2-} = A_i e^{b_i z}$, where i denotes the isotope [$i = 32, 34$] and the coefficients A_i and b_i describe the sulfate profiles; Figure 3 in Donahue et al. (2008)). By assuming a constant porosity and combining Equations 5 and 6 in Donahue et al. (2008), the profiles for sulfate reduction rate can be expressed as $f(z)_i = -\phi(D_{\text{sed}}(^{32}\text{SO}_4^{2-})b_i^2 - \omega b_i)A_i e^{b_i z}$, where D_{sed} is the *in situ* diffusion coefficient and ω is the sedimentation rate. The net fractionation (ϵ_{SR}) is defined as $\epsilon_{\text{SR}}(\text{‰}) =$

$1000 \cdot \left(\frac{f_{34}}{f_{32}} \frac{^{32}\text{SO}_4^{2-}}{^{34}\text{SO}_4^{2-}} - 1 \right)$, which when ignoring the negligible advection term and making use of Equation 3 in Bourg (2008) results in $\epsilon_{\text{SR}}(\text{‰}) \approx 1000 \cdot \left(\frac{D_{\text{sed}}(^{34}\text{SO}_4^{2-})}{D_{\text{sed}}(^{32}\text{SO}_4^{2-})} \frac{b_{34}^2}{b_{32}^2} - 1 \right) = 1000 \cdot \left(\left(\frac{m(^{34}\text{SO}_4^{2-})}{m(^{32}\text{SO}_4^{2-})} \right)^{-\beta} \frac{b_{34}^2}{b_{32}^2} - 1 \right)$, where $\frac{b_{34}^2}{b_{32}^2}$ is a function of the $^{32}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ and $^{34}\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ profile shapes and m indicates mass. Thus, any variation in the ratio of the diffusion coefficients will have a linear effect on the computed fractionation. Furthermore, expressing the power function by a series expansion, $\left(\frac{m(^{34}\text{SO}_4^{2-})}{m(^{32}\text{SO}_4^{2-})} \right)^{-\beta} \approx 1 - \ln \left(\frac{m(^{34}\text{SO}_4^{2-})}{m(^{32}\text{SO}_4^{2-})} \right) \beta + O(\beta^2)$, reveals an approximately linear dependence of ϵ on β . Thus, in the case of sulfate in the Cariaco Basin pore waters, the predicted fractionation arising from the mass difference of the diffusion coefficient of two isotopes ($\Delta\epsilon$) varies linearly with Bourg's (2008) exponent β , bracketed by the hydrodynamic model ($\beta = 0$) and the inverse square root of the solute isotopic mass estimate ($\beta = 0.5$).

The roles of advective and diffusive transport in governing isotopic pore water signatures have been demonstrated (e.g., Jørgensen, 1979; Bottrell and Raiswell, 2000) and studied in diverse sedimentary systems (Fossing et al., 2000; Böttcher et al., 2004; Jørgensen et al., 2004). Experiments by Piel (1999) demonstrated that the diffusion coefficients of H_2^{32}S and H_2^{34}S do differ, albeit only slightly in that study, and most previous work (Jørgensen, 1979; Fossing et al., 2000; Jørgensen et al., 2004) did not consider its impact on isotopic fractionation.

In all likelihood, the actual impact of the difference in diffusion coefficients for two isotopes in natural systems is

* Corresponding author. Address: Large Lakes Observatory, University of Minnesota Duluth, 10 University Dr., 109 RLB, Duluth, MN 55812, USA. Fax: +1 218 726 6979.

E-mail address: jwerne@d.umn.edu (J.P. Werne).

somewhere in between the range reported above. Thus, for the typical sulfur isotope fractionations observed in marine sediments, which are on the order of 0–50‰, even a small potential difference in diffusion coefficients (~1%) can have a significant impact on isotopic data and their interpretation. We maintain that the isotope effects associated with diffusion, and quantified by considering the mass dependence of the diffusion coefficients, can impact the isotopic trends observed for dissolved pore water species such as sulfate and sulfide. Thus, our fundamental conclusion that these factors should be taken into account in future, rigorous investigations of pore water solute isotope systematics remains valid.

Both Bourg's (2008) analysis and our application of modeling to field data (Donahue et al., 2008) demonstrate the need for further laboratory studies. Such studies are necessary to corroborate or disprove our findings, shown to be important for the interpretation of S isotopic signatures in natural systems.

REFERENCES

- Böttcher M. E., Boo-Kuen K., Suzuki A., Gehre M., Brumsack U. G. and Wortmann H. J. (2004) Microbial sulfate reduction in deep sediments of the Southwest Pacific (ODP Leg 181, Sites 1119–1125): evidence from stable sulfur isotope fractionation and pore water modeling. *Mar. Geol.* **205**, 249–260.
- Bottrell S. H. and Raiswell R. (2000) Sulphur isotopes and microbial sulphur cycling. In *Microbial Sediments* (eds. R. E. Riding and S. M. Awramik). Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 96–104.
- Bourg I. C. (2008) Comment on “Modeling sulfur isotope fractionation and differential diffusion during sulfate reduction in sediments of the Cariaco Basin” by M.A. Donahue, J.P. Werne, C. Meile, and T.W. Lyons. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **72**, 5852–5854.
- Donahue M., Werne J. P., Meile C. and Lyons T. W. (2008) Modeling the effects of fractionation and differential diffusion on the sulfur isotope signature of sulfate reduction in Cariaco Basin sediments. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **72**, 2287–2297.
- Fossing H., Ferdelman T. G. and Berg P. (2000) Sulfate reduction and methane oxidation in continental margin sediments influenced by irrigation (South-East Atlantic off Namibia). *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **64**, 897–910.
- Jørgensen B. B. (1979) A theoretical model of the stable sulfur isotope distribution in marine sediments. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **43**, 363–374.
- Jørgensen B. B., Böttcher M. E., Lüschen H., Neretin L. N. and Volkov I. I. (2004) Anaerobic methane oxidation and a deep H₂ S sink generate isotopically heavy sulfides in Black Sea sediments. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **68**, 2095–2118.
- Piel, C. (1999) Experimental Studies of Sulfur Isotope Fractionation (³⁴S/³²S) During Transport and Reaction of Dissolved and Gaseous Sulfur Species. M.Sc. Thesis, University of Bremen, Germany, 98 pp. (in German).

Associate editor: James Farquhar