

## Some practice problems for midterm 2

Kiumars Kaveh

November 14, 2011

**Problem:** Let  $Z = \{a \in G \mid ax = xa, \forall x \in G\}$  be the center of a group  $G$ . Prove that  $Z$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

Solution: First we prove  $Z$  is a subgroup. Let  $a, b \in Z$ , we need to show that  $ab \in Z$ . Take  $x \in G$  then  $(ab)x = a(bx) = a(xb) = (ax)b = x(ab)$ , which shows that  $ab \in Z$ . Also  $ex = xe$  for every  $x \in G$  thus  $e \in Z$ . Finally to show that  $Z$  contains inverses of its elements, take  $a \in Z$ , then  $ax = xa$  for all  $x$ , multiplying by  $a^{-1}$  from left and right we get  $xa^{-1} = a^{-1}x$  which proves that  $a^{-1} \in Z$ . Next let us show that  $Z$  is a normal subgroup. We need to show that for any  $x \in G$  and  $a \in Z$ ,  $x^{-1}ax$  lies in  $Z$ . But  $x^{-1}ax = ax^{-1}x = a \in Z$ . Thus  $Z$  is a normal subgroup.

**Problem:** Let  $G = \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ ,  $H = \{(0, 0), (2, 0), (0, 2), (2, 2)\}$  and  $K = \langle (1, 2) \rangle$ . Is  $G/H$  isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  or  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ ? How about  $G/K$ ?

Solution:  $G/H$  has 4 elements consisting of  $H$ ,  $(1, 0) + H$ ,  $(0, 1) + H$  and  $(1, 1) + H$ . The last three cosets have order 2, and hence  $G/H$  is isomorphic to the Klein group  $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ . As for the group  $G/K$ , note that  $(1, 2)$  has order 4 and  $K$  consists of  $\{(0, 0), (1, 2), (2, 0), (3, 2)\}$ . Since  $K$  does not contain any element of the form  $(x, x)$  except for  $(0, 0)$  we see that the order of the element  $(1, 1) + K$  in  $G/K$  is equal to 4. It follows that  $G/K$  is cyclic isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ .

**Problem:** Let  $G$  be a finite group and let  $H$  be a normal subgroup. Prove that, for any  $g \in G$ , the order of the element  $gH$  in  $G/H$  must divide the order of  $g$  in  $G$ .

Solution: Consider the natural homomorphism  $\phi : G \rightarrow G/H$ , given by  $x \mapsto xH$ . Let  $K$  be the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $g$  and  $K'$  the subgroup of  $G' = G/H$  generated by  $g + H$ . One easily sees that  $\phi : K \rightarrow K'$  is an onto homomorphism. It follows that  $|K|$  is divisible by  $|K'|$  (recall that for any homomorphism the number of elements in the image is the index of the kernel subgroup). But  $|K'|$  (respectively  $|K|$ ) is the order of  $g + H$  in  $G/H$  (respectively  $g$  in  $G$ ).

**Problem:** Suppose that  $\phi : G \rightarrow G'$  is a homomorphism between the groups  $G$  and  $G'$ . Let  $N'$  be a normal subgroup of  $G'$ . Prove that the inverse image of  $N'$ , namely  $N = \phi^{-1}(N') = \{x \mid \phi(x) \in N'\}$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

Solution: One can prove this directly from definition of normal subgroup and homomorphism. We give another shorter proof. Consider the natural homomorphism  $\psi : G' \rightarrow G'/N'$ . Then  $N'$  is the kernel of  $\psi$ . Consider the composition of the homomorphisms  $\phi$  and  $\psi$ . It is a homomorphism  $\psi \circ \phi : G \rightarrow G'/N'$ . Also from definition the inverse image  $N$  is the kernel of  $\psi \circ \phi$ . This shows that  $N$  is a normal subgroup because it is kernel of the homomorphism  $\psi \circ \phi$ .

**Problem:** Determine the number of ways, up to rotation of the square, in which four corners of a square can be colored with two colors. (it is permissible to use a single color on all four corners.)

Solution: Consider the set  $X$  of all the colorings of the corners of square with two colors. Clearly  $X$  has  $2^4 = 16$  elements. The group  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_4$  of rotations generated by  $90^\circ$  rotation acts on  $X$ . The question asks to compute the number of orbits in this action. We use Burnside's theorem. Recall that it states that number of orbits is equal to:

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |X_g|.$$

For each rotation  $g$  we compute the number of fixed points (colorings which remain the same after the rotation):

The number of colorings fixed by  $0^\circ$  degree rotation = 16.

The number of colorings fixed by  $90^\circ$  degree rotation = 2.

The number of colorings fixed by  $180^\circ$  degree rotation = 4.

The number of colorings fixed by  $270^\circ$  degree rotation = 2.

Applying Burnside's theorem, the number of different colorings is:  $(1/4)(16 + 2 + 4 + 2) = 24/4 = 6$ .

**Problem:** Wooden cubes of the same size are to be painted a different color on each face to make children's blocks. How many distinguishable blocks can be made if 8 colors of paint are used?

Solution: Consider the group  $G$  of rotations of a cube (Section 9, Ex. 45). It has 24 elements. This group acts on the set  $X$  of all possible colorings of a cube by 8 colors. One computes that the number of elements in  $X$ , i.e the number of colorings, is  $8 \cdot 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3$ . We also observe that, since each coloring consists of different colors, each rotation (except identity rotation) sends a coloring to a different coloring. In other words, for any  $g \in G$ , the fixed point set  $X_g = \emptyset$ , unless  $g = e$  where  $X_e = X$ . Thus the number of orbits is equal to  $(1/24)(8 \cdot 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3) = 840$ . One can also see this without Burnside's theorem: since no rotation fixes a coloring, all orbits have  $|G| = 24$  elements. Thus the

number of orbits is  $|X|/|G| = 840$ .

**Problem:** Give examples of the following:

(i) A finite noncommutative ring.

Solution: Consider  $2 \times 2$  matrices with entries in some field  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

(ii) Give an example of a subset of a ring which is a subgroup under addition but not a subring.

Solution: In the field of complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ , consider the line consisting of all the imaginary numbers  $I = \{0 + yi \mid y \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Clearly it is a subgroup with addition but it is not a subring because  $i \cdot i = -1$  which is not in  $I$ .

(iii) Give example of a noncommutative ring  $R$  and elements  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab = 0$  but  $ba \neq 0$ .

Solution: In the ring of  $2 \times 2$  matrices with real entries consider:

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Problem:** Prove that a ring  $R$  is commutative if and only if  $a^2 - b^2 = (a + b)(a - b)$  for all  $a, b \in R$ .

Solution: By distributivity, for all  $a, b \in R$  we have  $(a + b)(a - b) = a^2 + ba - ab - b^2$ . Now  $a^2 + ba - ab + b^2 = a^2 - b^2$  if and only if  $ba - ab = 0$  which is equivalent to  $ab = ba$ .

**Problem:** Suppose  $R$  is a ring and that  $x^2 = x$  for all  $x \in R$ . Show that  $R$  is a commutative ring.

Solution: First let us show that for any  $x \in R$ ,  $x = -x$ . To prove this consider the element  $x + x$ . By assumption we have  $x + x = (x + x)^2 = x^2 + x^2 + x^2 + x^2 = x + x + x + x$  which implies that  $x + x = 0$ , i.e.  $x = -x$ . Now let us show that  $R$  is commutative. Take  $a, b \in R$ . By distributivity we have  $(a + b)^2 = a^2 + ab + ba + b^2$ . By assumption  $x^2 = x$  we have  $a + b = a + ab + ba + b$ . This implies that  $ab + ba = 0$  and thus  $ab = -ba$  which in turn is equal to  $ba$ .

**Problem:** Prove that any finite integral domain is a field.

Solution: see Theorem 19.11 (Section 19).

**Problem:** One can easily verify that  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}] = \{a + b\sqrt{2} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$  is a subring of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Show that  $1 + 2\sqrt{2}$  has an inverse in this ring. (in fact  $\mathbb{Q}[\sqrt{2}]$  is a subfield, that is it contains the multiplicative inverses of all of its nonzero elements.)

Solution: One observes that  $(1 + 2\sqrt{2})(1 - 2\sqrt{2}) = 1 - 4 \cdot 2 = -7$ . Thus  $(1 + 2\sqrt{2}) \frac{(1-2\sqrt{2})}{-7} = 1$  which shows that  $(1 + \sqrt{2})^{-1} = (-1/7) + (2/7)\sqrt{2}$ .

**Problem:** Consider the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_3[x]$  of polynomials with coefficients in the finite field  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ . Show that the polynomials  $x^2$  and  $x^4$  determine the same functions from  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ .

Solution: Plugging in  $x = 0, 1, 2$  in  $x^4 - x^2$  we see that it gives 0 mod 3 which shows that  $x^2$  and  $x^4$  give the same function on  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ . Alternatively one can deduce the claim from Fermat's theorem that states that for any prime  $p$  and integer  $x$  not divisible by  $p$ ,  $x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

**Problem:** Let  $F$  be a field and let  $f(x)$  be polynomial in the ring  $F[x]$  (of polynomials with coefficients in  $F$ ). Show that if  $f$  has  $r$  distinct roots then  $\deg(f) \geq r$ .

Solution: Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r \in F$  be distinct roots of  $f$ . Then  $f(x)$  is divisible by  $(x - \alpha_i)$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . Since the  $(x - \alpha_i)$  are irreducible and the ring  $F[x]$  is "unique factorization domain" (i.e. every element uniquely decomposes into product of irreducible elements) we conclude that  $f(x)$  is divisible by the product  $\prod_{i=1}^r (x - \alpha_i)$ . The degree of this product is  $r$  and hence  $\deg(f)$  is greater and equal to  $r$ .

**Problem:** Let  $p$  be a prime number. Show that in the ring of polynomials  $\mathbb{Z}_p[x]$  we have the following:

$$x^p - x = x(x-1) \cdots (x-(p-1)).$$

Solution: By Fermat's theorem the polynomial  $f(x) = x^p - x$  has  $\alpha = 0, \dots, p-1$  as roots. By Factor Theorem we conclude that  $f(x)$  is divisible by all the  $x - \alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 0, \dots, p-1$ , and hence by their product  $g(x) = x(x-1) \cdots (x-(p-1))$ . Since both polynomials  $f$  and  $g$  have the same degree we conclude that  $f = cg$  for some constant  $c \in F$ . But the coefficients of  $x^p$  in both  $f$  and  $g$  is equal to 1 and hence  $c = 1$  which proves the claim.

**Problem:** We know that  $A_n$  is a normal subgroup of  $S_n$ . Also  $A_n$  is a simple group for  $n \geq 5$ . Prove that for  $n \geq 5$ ,  $A_n$  is the only normal subgroup of  $S_n$  (except for trivial subgroups  $S_n$  and  $\{e\}$ ).

Sketch of solution: By contradiction, suppose  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $S_n$  different from  $\{e\}$ ,  $A_n$  and  $S_n$ . Consider  $K = A_n \cap H$ . One proves that the intersection of two normal subgroups is always a normal subgroup. Thus  $K$

should be a normal subgroup of  $S_n$  and hence a normal subgroup of  $A_n$ . But the only normal subgroups of  $A_n$  are  $\{e\}$  and  $A_n$  itself. It follows that  $K = A_n$  or  $K = \{e\}$ . If  $K = A_n$  then  $A_n \subset H$ . This shows that  $[S_n : H] < 2 = [S_n : A_n]$ . Hence  $[S_n : H] = 1$  i.e.  $H = S_n$  which is a contradiction. Next consider the case  $K = \{e\}$ . This means that  $H \cap A_n = \{e\}$ . Thus  $H \setminus \{e\}$  consists only of odd permutations. Now if  $\sigma \in H$  is an odd permutation  $\sigma^2$  is even which implies that  $\sigma^2 = e$ . Finally one verifies that there is  $\tau \in S_n$  such that  $\tau^{-1}\sigma\tau \neq \sigma$ . Since  $H$  is normal we should have  $\sigma' = \tau^{-1}\sigma\tau \in H$ . But then  $\sigma'\sigma \neq e$  is an even permutation and hence is in  $A_n$ . This contradicts that  $A_n \cap H = \{e\}$ .