

Solutions

Department of Mathematics
University of Pittsburgh

MATH 2370

Midterm 1, Fall 2015

Instructor: Kiumars Kaveh

Last Name:

Student Number:

First Name:

TIME ALLOWED: 50 MINUTES. TOTAL: 50

NO AIDS ALLOWED. WRITE SOLUTIONS ON THE SPACE PROVIDED.

Question	Mark
1	/10
2	/10
3	/10
4	/10
5	/10
TOTAL	/50

1(a).[5 points] Give the definitions of the following: dual X' of vector space X , and dual T' of a linear map $T : X \rightarrow U$ (where X, U are vector spaces).

$$X' = \{ \ell : X \rightarrow K \mid \ell \text{ linear} \}$$

X' vec. space over K with addition & scalar multi. of functions.

$$T' : U' \rightarrow X' \quad \text{linear map defined by:} \quad T'(\ell) = \ell \circ T.$$

(b).[5 points] Give the definition of the following: the direct sum of two vector spaces X and U .

$$X \oplus U = \{ (x, u) \mid x \in X, u \in U \}$$

$X \oplus U$ vec. space with Componentwise addition & scalar multi.

2. [10 points] Let $T : X \rightarrow U$ be a linear map where X, U are vector spaces and X is finite dimensional. Prove that $\dim(N_T) + \dim(R_T) = \dim(X)$.

1st proof Let $\{b_1, \dots, b_m\}$ be a basis for N_T , where $m = \dim(N_T)$.

Extend this to a basis $\{b_1, \dots, b_m, x_1, \dots, x_{n-m}\}$ for X , where $n = \dim(X)$.

We claim that $\{T(x_1), \dots, T(x_{n-m})\}$ is a basis for R_T .

Firstly, $\{T(x_1), \dots, T(x_{n-m})\}$ is lin. ind. because if $\sum_{i=1}^{n-m} c_i T(x_i) = 0 \Rightarrow T(\sum_{i=1}^{n-m} c_i x_i) = 0$
 $\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} c_i x_i \in N_T \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} c_i x_i = \sum_{j=1}^m d_j b_j$ for some scalars d_j . Since $\{b_1, \dots, b_m, x_1, \dots, x_{n-m}\}$

is lin. ind. it follows that $c_1 = \dots = c_{n-m} = 0$. i.e. $\{T(x_1), \dots, T(x_{n-m})\}$ is lin. ind.

Secondly, $\{T(x_1), \dots, T(x_{n-m})\}$ spans R_T , because if $y = T(x) \in R_T$ then

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^m d_j b_j + \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} c_i x_i \Rightarrow y = T(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m d_j T(b_j) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} c_i T(x_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} c_i T(x_i).$$

It follows that $\dim(R_T) = n - m$ as required.

2nd proof We show that $X/N_T \cong R_T$. The isom is given by

$\tilde{T}: x + N_T \mapsto T(x)$. To show \tilde{T} is well-defined note that if $x_1 + N_T = x_2 + N_T$ then $x_1 - x_2 = n \in N_T \Rightarrow T(x_1) - T(x_2) = T(n) = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{T}(x_1 + N_T) = \tilde{T}(x_2 + N_T)$.

It is clear that \tilde{T} is onto. To show that \tilde{T} is one-to-one note that $N_T =$

$\{x + N_T \mid x \in N_T\} = \{0 + N_T\} = \{0\}$. So \tilde{T} is one-to-one.

Finally we know (from a previous thm.) that $\dim(X/N_T) = \dim(X) - \dim(N_T)$.

This finishes the proof.

3.[10 points] Let X be a finite dimensional vector space. Prove that a linear map $T : X \rightarrow X$ is one-to-one if and only if it is onto. (because $R_T \subset X$ ^{subspace})

First note that T is onto $\Leftrightarrow R_T = X \Leftrightarrow \dim R_T = \dim X$

Also T is one-to-one $\Leftrightarrow N_T = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow \dim N_T = 0$.

It is clear that if T is one-to-one then $N_T = \{0\}$.

Conversely if $N_T = \{0\}$ & $T(x_1) = T(x_2) \Rightarrow T(x_1 - x_2) = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 - x_2 = 0 \Rightarrow x_1 = x_2$.

Thus T one-to-one.

Now Since $\dim N_T + \dim R_T = \dim X$ we have:

$\dim N_T = 0 \Leftrightarrow \dim R_T = \dim X$. This finishes the proof.

(Remember: $\begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ C_1 & \dots & C_n \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \text{Col. vec. } x_1 C_1 + \dots + x_n C_n.$)

4. [10 points] Let p be a permutation of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and let P be the corresponding permutation matrix i.e. the i -th column of the matrix P is the standard basis element $e_{p(i)}$. In other words, P is obtained from the identity matrix by permuting the columns using p . Let D be a diagonal matrix:

$$D = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n).$$

Show that the matrix $P^{-1}DP$ is again diagonal and in fact it is equal to $\text{diag}(d_{p(1)}, \dots, d_{p(n)})$.

$\forall i=1 \dots n$, the i -th col. of P is $e_{p(i)}$. It follows that $\forall j=1 \dots n$, the j -th row of P is $e_{p^{-1}(j)}$. Applying this to the permutation p^{-1} we see $\forall j=1 \dots n$, the j -row of P^{-1} is $e_{p(j)}$.

Direct calculation shows that:

$$\begin{bmatrix} d_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & d_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ e_{p(1)} & \dots & e_{p(n)} \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ d_{p(1)} e_{p(1)} & \dots & d_{p(n)} e_{p(n)} \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix}$$

And hence:

$$P^{-1}DP = \begin{bmatrix} \text{---} e_{p(1)} \text{---} \\ \vdots \\ \text{---} e_{p(n)} \text{---} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ d_{p(1)} e_{p(1)} & \dots & d_{p(n)} e_{p(n)} \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} d_{p(1)} & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & d_{p(n)} \end{bmatrix}$$

5.[10 points] Let P_1, P_2 be two projections from a finite dimensional linear space X into itself. Suppose $R_{P_1} = N_{P_2}$, is it always true that $P_1 P_2 = 0$? Prove it or provide a counter example.

$R_{P_1} = N_{P_2}$ implies that $P_2 P_1 = 0$.

It is not in general true that $P_1 P_2 = 0$.

Example Let $X = \mathbb{R}^2$.

Let $P_1 = \text{Proj. on } x\text{-axis parallel to } y\text{-axis}$ ($R_{P_1} = \langle e_1 \rangle$, $N_{P_1} = \langle e_2 \rangle$)
 Matrix of $P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. (Easily checked $P_1^2 = P_1$).

Let $P_2 = \text{Proj. on the line } x=y \text{ parallel to } x\text{-axis}$. ($N_{P_2} = \langle e_1 \rangle$, $R_{P_2} = \langle e_1 + e_2 \rangle$).
 Clearly, P_2 proj. i.e. $P_2^2 = P_2$. Also $R_{P_1} = N_{P_2} = x\text{-axis} = \langle e_1 \rangle$.

But $R_{P_2} \not\subset N_{P_1}$ because $R_{P_2} = \langle e_1 + e_2 \rangle$ & $N_{P_1} = \langle e_2 \rangle$. So $P_1 P_2 \neq 0$.

Matrix of $P_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$.