School of Information Sciences University of Pittsburgh

TELCOM2125: Network Science and Analysis



Konstantinos Pelechrinis

Spring 2015

Figures are taken from: M.E.J. Newman, "Networks: An Introduction"

Part 6: Random Graphs with General Degree Distributions

Generating functions

 Consider a probability distribution of a non-negative, integer random variable p_k

- E.g., the distribution of the node degree in a network
- The <u>(probability) generating function</u> for the probability distribution p_k is:

$$g(z) = p_0 + p_1 z + p_2 z^2 + p_3 z^3 + \dots = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k z^k$$

- Hence, if we know g(z) we can recover the probability distribution: $p_k = \frac{1}{k!} \frac{d^k g}{dz^k}$
- The probability distribution and the generating function are two different representations of the same quantity

Examples

Consider a variable that only takes 4 values (e.g., 1, 2, 3, 4)

- $p_k = 0$ for k=0 or k>4
- Let us further assume that $p_1=0.4$, $p_2=0.3$, $p_3=0.1$ and $p_4=0.2$
- Then: $g(z) = 0.4z + 0.3z^2 + 0.1z^3 + 0.2z^4$
- Now let us assume that k follows a Poisson distribution:

$$p_k = e^{-c} \frac{c^k}{k!}$$

Then the corresponding probability generating function is:

$$g(z) = e^{-c} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(cz)^k}{k!} = e^{c(z-1)}$$

Examples

Suppose k follows an exponential distribution:

$$p_k = Ce^{-\lambda k}, \quad \lambda > 0 \quad C = 1 - e^{-\lambda}$$

Then the generating function is:

$$g(z) = (1 - e^{-\lambda}) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (e^{-\lambda} z)^k = \frac{e^{\lambda} - 1}{e^{\lambda} - z}$$

- The above function converges iff $z < e^{\lambda}$
- Given that we are only interested in the range 0≤z≤1, this holds true

Power-law distributions

As we have seen many real networks exhibit power-law degree distribution

• To reiterate, in its pure form we have:

 $p_k = Ck^{-\alpha}, \ \alpha > 0 \quad k > 0 \quad and \quad p_0 = 0$

The normalization constant is: $C\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-\alpha} = 1 \Rightarrow C = \frac{1}{\zeta(\alpha)}$ \checkmark Where $\zeta(\alpha)$ is the Riemman zeta function

Then the probability generating function is:

$$g(z) = \frac{1}{\zeta(\alpha)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-\alpha} z^{k} = \frac{Li_{\alpha}(z)}{\zeta(\alpha)}$$

✓ Where Li_{α} is the polylogarithm of z

$$\frac{\partial Li_{\alpha}(z)}{\partial z} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-\alpha} z^{k} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-(\alpha-1)} z^{k-1} = \frac{Li_{\alpha-1}(z)}{z}$$

6

Power-law distribution

 Real networks, as we have seen, do not follow power-law over all the values of k

- Power-law is generally followed at the tail of the distribution after a cut-off value k_{min}
- In this case the more accurate generating function is:

$$g(z) = Q_{k_{\min}-1}(z) + C \sum_{k=k_{\min}}^{\infty} k^{-\alpha} z^{k}$$

Lerch transcendent

- Q_n(z) is a polynomial in z of degree n
- C is the normalizing constant

Normalization and moments

• If we set z=1 at the generating function we get:

$$g(1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k$$

 If the underlying probability distribution is normalized to unity, g(1)=1

 This is not always the case – recall the distribution of small components for a random graph

- The derivative of the generating function is: $g'(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k p_k z^{k-1}$
 - Evaluating at z=1 we get:

$$g'(1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k p_k = \langle k \rangle$$

Normalization and moments

 The previous result can be generalized in higher moments of the probability distribution:

$$\left\langle k^{m} \right\rangle = \left[\left(z \frac{d}{dz} \right)^{m} g(z) \right]_{z=1} = \frac{d^{m}g}{d(\ln z)^{m}} \Big|_{z=1}$$

- This is convenient since many times we first calculate the generating function and hence, we can compute interesting quantities directly from g(z)
 - Possible even in cases we do not have a closed form for g(z)

Powers of generating functions

- A very important property of generating functions is related with their powers
- In particular let us assume g(z) that represents the probability distribution of k (e.g., degree)
 - If we draw m numbers independently from this distribution, the generating function of this sum is the m-th power of g(z)!
 - This is a very important property that we is extensively used in derivations for the configuration model and beyond

Powers of generating functions

- Given that the m numbers are drawn independently from the distribution, the probability that they take a particular set of values {k_i} is: ∏_i p_{ki}
 - Hence the probability π_s that they will sum up to s, is given if we consider all the possible combinations of k_i values that sum up to s: $\pi = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \delta(s \sum_{k=1}^{m} p)$

$$\pi_{s} = \sum_{k_{1}=0} \dots \sum_{k_{m}=0} \delta(s, \sum_{i} k_{i}) \prod_{i=1}^{m} p_{k_{i}}$$

Substituting to the generation function h(z) for π_s :

$$egin{aligned} u(z) &= \sum_{s=0}^\infty \pi_s z^s \ &= \sum_{s=0}^\infty z^s \sum_{k_1=0}^\infty \ldots \sum_{k_m=0}^\infty \delta(s, \sum_i k_i) \prod_{i=1}^m p_{k_i} \ &= \sum_{k_1=0}^\infty \ldots \sum_{k_m=0}^\infty z^{\sum_i k_i} \prod_{i=1}^m p_{k_i} \ &= \sum_{k_1=0}^\infty \ldots \sum_{k_m=0}^\infty \prod_{i=1}^m p_{k_i} z^{k_i} = \left[\sum_{k=0}^\infty p_k z^k\right]^m \ &= \left[g(z)
ight]^m. \end{aligned}$$

11

 In the configuration model we provide a given degree sequence

- This sequence has the exact degree of every node in the network
 - ✓ The number of edges in the network is fixed → Generalization of G(n,m)

• Each vertex i can be thought as having k_i "stubs" of edges

 We choose at each step two stubs uniformly at random from the still available ones and connect them with an edge



 The graph created from running once the above process is just one possible matching of stubs

- All possible matchings appear with equal probabilities
- Hence, the configuration model can be thought as the ensemble in which each matching with the chosen degree sequence appear with equal probability

However, configuration model has a few catches

- The sum of the degrees need to be even
- Self-edges and multi-edges might appear
 - If we modify the process to remove these edges then the network is no longer drawn uniformly from the set of possible matchings

✓ It can be shown that the density of these edges tends to 0

 While all matchings appear with equal probabilities, not all networks appear with equal probability!

- One network might correspond to multiple matchings
- We can create all the matchings for a given network by permuting the stubs at each vertex in every possible way
 - ✓ Total number of matches for a given network: $N(\{k_i\}) = \prod_i k_i!$

Independent of the actual network

- With $\Omega(\{k_i\})$ being the number of total matchings, each network indeed appears with equal probability N/ Ω



However in the above we have assumed only simple edges

 When we add multi- or self-edges things become more complicated

Not all permutations of stubs correspond to different matchings

- Two multi-edges whose stubs are permuted simultaneously result in the same maching
 - Total number of matchings is reduced by A_{ii}!

 $\checkmark A_{ij}$ is the multiplicity of the edge (i,j)

 For self-edges there is a further factor of 2 because the interchange of the two edges does not generate new matching

$$N = \frac{\prod_{i} k_{i}!}{(\prod_{i < j} A_{ij}!)(\prod_{i} A_{ii}!!)}$$

- The total probability of a network is still N/ Ω but now we have N to depend on the structure of the network itself
 - Hence, different networks have different probabilities to appear
- In the limit of large n though, the density of multi- and selfedges is zero and hence, the variations in the above probabilities are expected to be small

- Some times we might be given the degree distribution p_k rather than the degree sequence
- In this case we draw a specific degree sequence from this distribution and work just as above
- The two models are not very different
 - The crucial parameter that comes into calculations is the fraction of nodes with degree k
 - \checkmark In the extended model this fraction is p_k in the limit of large n
 - In the standard configuration model this fraction can be directly calculated from the degree sequence given

• What is the probability of an edge between nodes i and j?

- There are k_i stubs at node i and k_i at j
 - The probability that one of the k_i stubs of node i connects with one of the stubs of node j is k_i/(2m-1)

 \checkmark Since there are k_i possible stubs for vertex i the overall probability is:

$$p_{ij} = \frac{k_i k_j}{2m - 1} \cong \frac{k_i k_j}{2m}$$

 The above formula is the expected number of edges between nodes i and j but in the limit of large m the probability and mean values become equal (why??)

 What is the probability of having a second edge between i and j?

$$p_{ij,2} = \frac{k_i k_j (k_i - 1)(k_j - 1)}{(2m)^2}$$

- This is basically the probability that there is a multi-edge between vertices i and j
- Summing over all possible pairs of nodes we can get the expected number of multi-edges in the network:

$$\frac{1}{2(2m)^2} \sum_{ij} k_i k_j (k_i - 1)(k_j - 1) = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\left\langle k^2 \right\rangle - \left\langle k \right\rangle}{\left\langle k \right\rangle} \right]^2$$

 The expected number of multi-edges remains constant as the network grows larger, given that the moments are constant

• What is the probability of a self edge ?

The possible number of pairs between the k_j stubs of node j is $\frac{1}{2}k_i(k_i-1)$. Hence:

$$p_{jj} = \frac{\frac{1}{2}k_j(k_j - 1)}{2m - 1} \cong \frac{k_j(k_j - 1)}{4m}$$

 Summing over all nodes we get the expected number of self edges:

$$\sum_{i} p_{ii} = \frac{\left\langle k^2 \right\rangle - \left\langle k \right\rangle}{2 \left\langle k \right\rangle}$$

- What is the expected number n_{ij} of common neighbors between nodes i and j?
 - Consider node i \rightarrow Probability that i is connected with I: $k_i k_i/2m$
 - Probability that j is connected to I (after node i connected to it): k_j(k_l-1)/(2m-1)
 - Hence:

$$n_{ij} = \sum_{l} \frac{k_i k_l}{2m} \frac{k_j (k_l - 1)}{2m} = \frac{k_i k_j}{2m} \frac{\sum_{l} k_l (k_l - 1)}{n \langle k \rangle} = p_{ij} \frac{\langle k^2 \rangle - \langle k \rangle}{\langle k \rangle}$$

Random graphs with given expected degree

- The configuration model can be thought as an extension of the G(n,m) random graph model
- Alternatively, we can assign to each vertex i in the graph a parameter c_i and create an edge between two nodes i and j with a probability c_ic_i/2m
 - We need to allow for self- and multi-edges to keep the model tractable
 - Hence the probability between edges i and j is:

$$p_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{c_i c_j}{2m}, & i \neq j \\ \frac{c_i^2}{4m}, & i = j \end{cases} \qquad \sum_i c_i = 2m$$

Random graphs with given expected degree

Based on the above graph generation process we have:

Average number of edges in the network:

$$\sum_{i \le j} p_{ij} = \sum_{i < j} \frac{c_i c_j}{2m} + \sum_i \frac{c_i^2}{4m} = \sum_{ij} \frac{c_i c_j}{4m} = m$$

Average degree of node i:

$$\langle k_i \rangle = 2p_{ii} + \sum_{j \neq i} p_{ij} = \frac{c_i^2}{2m} + \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{c_i c_j}{2m} = \sum_j \frac{c_i c_j}{2m} = c_i$$

- Hence, c_i is the average degree of node i
 - The actual degree on a realization of the model will differ in general from c_i
 - It can be shown that the actual degree follows Poisson distribution with mean c_i (unless if c_i=0)

Random graphs with given expected degree

- Hence in this model we specify the expected degree sequence {c_i} (and consequently the expected number of edges m), but not the actual degree sequence and number of edges
 - This model is analogous to G(n,p)
- The fact that the distribution of the expected degrees c_i is not the same as the distribution of the actual degrees k_i makes this model not widely used
 - Given our will to be able to choose the actual degree distribution we will stick with the configuration model even if it is more complicated

Neighbor's degree distribution

- Considering the configuration model, we want to find what is the probability that the neighbor of a node has degree k
 - In other words, we pick a vertex i and we follow one of its edges. What is the probability that the vertex at the other end of the edge has degree k?

Clearly it cannot be simply p_k

Counter example: If the probability we are looking for was p_k it means that the probability of this neighbor vertex to have degree of zero is p₀ (which is in general non-zero). However, clearly this probability is 0!

Neighbor's degree distribution

- Since there are k stubs at every node of degree k, there is a k/(2m-1) probability the edge we follow to end to a specific node of degree k
 - In the limit of large network this probability can be simplified to k/2m
 - The total number of nodes with degree k is npk
 - Hence the probability that a neighbor of a node has degree k is:

$$\frac{k}{2m}np_k = \frac{kp_k}{\langle k \rangle}, \quad \sin ce \quad 2m = n\langle k \rangle$$

Average degree of a neighbor

- What is the average degree of an individual's network neighbor?
 - We have the degree probability of a neighbor, so we simply need to sum over it:

average degree of a neighbor=
$$\sum_{k} k \frac{kp_{k}}{\langle k \rangle} = \frac{\langle k^{2} \rangle}{\langle k \rangle}$$

Given that:
$$\frac{\langle k^{2} \rangle}{\langle k \rangle} - \langle k \rangle = \frac{1}{\langle k \rangle} (\langle k^{2} \rangle - \langle k \rangle^{2}) = \frac{\sigma_{k}^{2}}{\langle k \rangle} \ge 0$$

Your friends have more friends than you! (Friendship paradox)
 Even though this result is derived using the configuration model

it has been shown to hold true in real networks as well!

Excess degree distribution

- In many of the calculations that will follow we want to know how many edges the neighbor node has except the one that connects it to the initial vertex
- The number of edges attached to a vertex other than the edge we arrived along is called excess degree q_k
 - The excess degree is 1 less than the actual degree. Hence:

$$q_k = \frac{(k+1)p_{k+1}}{\langle k \rangle}$$

Clustering coefficient

 Recall that clustering coefficient is the probability that two nodes with a common neighbor are neighbors themselves

- Consider node u that has at least two neighbors, i and j
- If the excess degrees of i and j are k_i and k_j respectively, then the probability that they are connected with an edge is k_ik_i/2m
- Averaging over the excess distribution and both i and j we get:

$$C = \sum_{k_i, k_j=0}^{\infty} q_{k_i} q_{k_j} \frac{k_i k_j}{2m} = \frac{1}{2m} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k q_k \right]^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{2m \langle k \rangle^2} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k(k+1) p_{k+1} \right]^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{2m \langle k \rangle^2} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k-1) k p_k \right]^2$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} \frac{\left[\langle k^2 \rangle - \langle k \rangle \right]^2}{\langle k \rangle^3},$$

- As with the Poisson random graph, the clustering coefficient of the configuration model goes as n⁻¹ and vanishes in the limit of large networks
 - Not very promising model for real networks with large clustering coefficient
- However, in the enumerator of the expression, there is <k²>, which can be large in some networks depending on the degree distribution
 - E.g., power law

Generating functions for degree distributions

• We will denote the generating functions for the degree distribution and the excess degree distribution as $g_0(z)$ and $g_1(z)$ respectively $g_0(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k z^k$

$$g_1(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_k z^k$$

• We can get the relation between the two generating functions:

$$g_{1}(z) = \frac{1}{\langle k \rangle} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1) p_{k+1} z^{k} = \frac{1}{\langle k \rangle} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k p_{k} z^{k-1} = \frac{1}{\langle k \rangle} \frac{dg_{o}}{dz} = \frac{g_{o}'(z)}{g_{0}'(1)}$$

 In order to find the excess degree distribution we simply need to find the degree distribution

Generating functions for degree distributions

• Let us assume that the degree distribution follows a Poisson distribution c^k

$$p_k = e^{-c} \frac{c}{k!}$$

$$g_o(z) = e^{c(z-1)} \Longrightarrow g_1(z) = \frac{ce^{c(z-1)}}{c} = e^{c(z-1)} = g_o(z)$$

- The two generating functions are identical
 - This is one reason why calculations on Poisson random graph are relatively straightforward

Generating functions for degree distributions

• Let us assume a power law degree distribution:

$$p_k = \frac{k^{\alpha}}{\zeta(\alpha)} \Longrightarrow g_0(z) = \frac{Li_{\alpha}(z)}{\zeta(\alpha)}$$

$$g_1(z) = \frac{Li_{\alpha-1}(z)}{zLi_{\alpha-1}(z-1)} = \frac{Li_{\alpha-1}(z)}{z\zeta(\alpha-1)}$$

Number of second neighbors of a vertex

 Let us calculate the probability that a vertex has exactly k second neighbors

$$p_k^{(2)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_m P^{(2)}(k \mid m)$$

 \sim

- P⁽²⁾(k|m) is the conditional probability of having k second neighbors given that we have m direct neighbors
- The number of second neighbors of a vertex is essentially the sum of the excessive degrees of its first neighbors
 - The probability that the excess degree of the first neighbors is j_1, \ldots, j_m is:

$$\prod_{r=1}^{m} q_{j_r}$$



Number of second neighbors of a vertex

• Summing over all sets of values that sum up to m we get:

$$P^{(2)}(k \mid m) = \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{j_m=0}^{\infty} \delta(k, \sum_r j_r) \prod_{r=1}^{m} q_{j_r}$$

• Therefore

$$p_k^{(2)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_m \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j_2=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{j_m=0}^{\infty} \delta(k, \sum_r j_r) \prod_{r=1}^m q_{j_r}$$

• Using the probability generator function g⁽²⁾(z) we get:

$$g^{(2)}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p_k^{(2)} z^k$$

= $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} z^k \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_m \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{j_m=0}^{\infty} \delta(k, \sum_{r=1}^m j_r) \prod_{r=1}^m q_j$
= $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_m \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{j_m=0}^{\infty} z^{\sum_{r=1}^m j_r} \prod_{r=1}^m q_j$,
= $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_m \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{j_m=0}^{\infty} \prod_{r=1}^m q_{j_r} z^{j_r}$
= $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_m \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q_j z^i \right]^m$.

35

Number of second neighbors of a vertex

• The quantity in brackets is the probability generator function of $\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{k}}$

$$g^{(2)}(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_m (g_1(z))^m = g_0(g_1(z))$$

- The above equation reveals that once we know the generating functions for the vertices degrees and the vertices excessive degree we can find the probability distribution of the second neighbors
- Is there an easier way to derive the above result ?

Number of d-hop neighbors

• Similarly we can calculate the number of 3-hop neighbors

- Assuming m second neighbors (2-hop neighbors), the number of 3-hop neighbors is the sum of the excess degree of each of the second neighbors
 - ✓ P⁽³⁾(k|m) is the probability of having k 3-hop neighbors, given that we have m 2-hop neighbors
 - Similar to above $P^{(3)}(k|m)$ has generating function $[g_1(z)]^2$

$$\begin{split} g^{(3)}(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_m^{(2)} P^{(3)}(k|m) z^k = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_m^{(2)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P^{(3)}(k|m) z \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_m^{(2)} [g_1(z)]^m = g^{(2)}(g_1(z)) \\ &= g_0(g_1(g_1(z))). \end{split}$$

• This can generalize to d-hop distance neighbors:

$$g^{(d)}(z) = g_0(\underbrace{g_1(...g_1(z)...))}_{d-1}$$

- The above holds true for all distances d in an infinite graph
- At a finite graph, it holds true for small values of d
- It is difficult to use the above equation to obtain closed forms for the probabilities of the size of d-hop neighborhoods
 - We can calculate averages though

Average number of d-hop neighbors

• What is the average size of the 2-hop neighborhood?

We need to evaluate the derivative of g⁽²⁾(z) at z=1

$$\frac{dg^{(2)}}{dz} = g_0'(g_1(z))g_1'(z)$$

• $g_1(1)=1$ and hence the average number of second neighbors is $c_2=g'_0(1)g'_1(1)$ $\checkmark g'_0(1)=<k>$ and $g'_1(1)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}kq_k$ $=\frac{1}{\langle k \rangle}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}k(k+1)p_{k+1}=\frac{1}{\langle k \rangle}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}(k-1)kp_k$

$$\begin{array}{l} \langle k \rangle \stackrel{\langle k \rangle}{\underset{k=0}{\overset{k=0}{\underset{k=0}{\overset{(k)}{\atop{k=0}}}}} \\ = \frac{1}{\langle k \rangle} (\langle k^2 \rangle - \langle k \rangle). \end{array}$$

$$c_2 = \left\langle k^2 \right\rangle - \left\langle k \right\rangle$$

Average number of d-hop neighbors

• The average number of d-hop neighbors is given by:

$$c_{d} = \frac{dg^{(d)}}{dz}\Big|_{z=1} = g^{(d-1)'}(g_{1}(z))g_{1}'(z)\Big|_{z=1} = g^{(d-1)'}(1)g_{1}'(1) = c_{d-1}g_{1}'(1), \quad g_{1}'(1) = \frac{c_{2}}{g_{o}'(1)} = \frac{c_{2}}{\langle k \rangle} = \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}}$$

1 1

• Hence,

$$C_d = C_{d-1} \frac{C_2}{C_1} = \left(\frac{C_2}{C_1}\right)^{d-1} C_1$$

- The average number of neighbors at distance d increases or falls exponentially to d
 - If this number increase then we must have a giant component
 - Hence, the configuration model exhibits a giant component iff c₂>c₁, which can be written as:

$$\left\langle k^2 \right\rangle - 2\left\langle k \right\rangle > 0$$

- Given that π_s is the probability that a randomly selected node belongs to a small component of size s, the probability that a randomly chosen node belongs to a small component is: $\sum_s \pi_s = h_0(1)$
- Hence, the probability that a node belongs to the giant component is $S = 1 h_0(1) = 1 g_0(h_1(1))$
 - Note that h₀(1) is not necessarily 1 as with most probability generator functions
 - Given that $h_1(1)=g_1(h_1(1)) \rightarrow S=1-g_0(g_1(h_1(1)))$
- Setting h₁(1)=u we get
 - u=g₁(u) and hence,
 - $S=1-g_0(g_1(u))=1-g_0(u)$

Giant component

 For the above equations it is obvious that u is a fixed point of g₁(z)

- One trivial fixed point is z=1, since g₁(1)=1
- With u=1 though, we have S=1-g₀(1)=0, which corresponds to the case we do not have giant component
- Hence, if there is to be a giant component there must be at least one more fixed point of g₁(z)

• What is the physical interpretation of u?

$$u = h_1(1) = \sum_s \rho_s$$

 ρ_s is the probability that a vertex at the end of any edge belongs to a small component of size s

Hence, the above sum is the total probability that such a vertex does not belong to the giant component

Graphical solution

 When we can find the fixed point of g₁ everything becomes easier

- However, most of the times this is not possible
 - Graphical solution

g₁(z) is proportional to the probabilities q_k and hence for z≥0 is in general positive

- Furthermore, its derivatives are also proportional to q_k and hence are in general positive
- Thus, $g_1(z)$ is positive, increase and upward concave

 In order for g₁ to have another fixed point u<1, its derivative at u=1 needs to be greater than 1

$$g_1(1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} kq_k = \frac{1}{\langle k \rangle} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k(k+1)p_{k+1} = \frac{1}{\langle k \rangle} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k-1)kp_k = \frac{\langle k^2 \rangle - \langle k \rangle}{\langle k \rangle}$$

In order for the derivative at u=1 to be greater than 1 it needs to hold:

$$\frac{\left\langle k^2 \right\rangle - \left\langle k \right\rangle}{\left\langle k \right\rangle} > 1 \Leftrightarrow \left\langle k^2 \right\rangle - 2\left\langle k \right\rangle > 0$$

 This is exactly the condition that we saw previously for the presence of a giant component

Hence, there is a giant component iff there is a fixed point u<1 for g₁



v

- Using an approach similar to that with the Poisson random graph we can calculate some average quantities
- The mean size of the component of a randomly chosen vertex is given by: $\langle s \rangle = \frac{\sum_{s} s \pi_{s}}{\sum \pi_{s}} = \frac{h_{0}'(1)}{1-S} = \frac{h_{0}'(2)}{g_{0}(u)}$

Eventually, after some calculations we get: $\langle s \rangle = 1 + \frac{g_0(1)u^2}{g_0(u)[1 - g_0'(u)]}$

• As with the random Poisson graph the above calculation is biased

Following similar calculations we get the actual average small component size: $R = \frac{2}{2 - \frac{\langle k \rangle u^2}{1 - \frac{\langle k \rangle$

Complete distribution of small component sizes

$$\pi_{s} = \frac{\langle k \rangle}{(s-1)!} \left[\frac{d^{s-2}}{dz^{s-2}} [g_{1}(z)]^{2} \right]_{z=0}, \quad s > 1$$

 $\pi_1 = p_0$



• Let's start with a pure power law:

$$p_{k} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } k=0 \\ \frac{k^{-\alpha}}{\zeta(\alpha)} & \text{for } k \ge 1 \end{cases}$$

• A giant component exists iff [<k²>-2<k>] > 0

$$\langle k \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k p_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{-\alpha+1}}{\zeta(\alpha)} = \frac{\zeta(\alpha-1)}{\zeta(\alpha)}$$

$$\langle k^2 \rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^2 p_k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^{-\alpha+2}}{\zeta(\alpha)} = \frac{\zeta(\alpha-2)}{\zeta(\alpha)}$$

$$y$$

$$\alpha < 3.4788...$$

- The above result is of little practical importance since rarely we have a pure power law degree distribution
 - We have seen that a distribution that follows a power law at its tail will have a finite $\langle k^2 \rangle$ iff $\alpha > 3$, and a finite $\langle k \rangle$ iff $\alpha > 2$

✓ Hence, if $2 < \alpha \le 3 \rightarrow$ a giant component always exists

✓ When α >3 → a giant component might or might not exist

✓ When α ≤2 → a giant component always exists

- What is the size S of the giant component when one exists?
 - Recall, $S=1-g_0(u)$, where u is a fixed point of g_1
 - For a pure power law we have: $g_1(z) = \frac{Li_{\alpha-1}(z)}{z\zeta(\alpha-1)}$

• Hence,
$$u = \frac{Li_{\alpha-1}(u)}{u\zeta(\alpha-1)} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-\alpha+1} u^k}{u\zeta(\alpha-1)} = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)^{-\alpha+1} u^k}{\zeta(\alpha-1)}$$

- The enumerator is strictly positive for non-negative values of u
 ✓ Hence, u=0 iff ζ(α-1) diverges
 ✓ ζ(α, 1) diverges for α<2
 - ✓ ζ (α-1) diverges for α≤2

- Hence, for α≤2, u=0 → There is a giant component with S=1-g₀(0)=1-p₀=1!
 - The giant component fills the whole network !
 - Of course this holds true at the limit of large n
- For 2<α≤3.4788... there is a giant component that fills a proportion S of the network
- For α >3.4788... there is no giant component (i.e., S=0)

