



Neck Strength, Flexibility, Posture, and Proprioception in U.S. Army Pilots with and without a History of Neck Pain



Takashi Nagai‡, John P. Abt‡, Timothy C. Sell‡, Nicholas C. Clark‡, Brian W. Smalley*, Michael D. Wirt**, Scott M. Lephart‡

‡Warrior Human Performance Research Center, Neuromuscular Research Laboratory, Department of Sports Medicine and Nutrition, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA;

*US Army School of Aviation Medicine, US Army Aviation Center of Excellence, Fort Rucker, AL; **Blanchfield Army Community Hospital, 101st Airborne Division (Air Assault), Fort Campbell, KY

1. INTRODUCTION

- Neck pain (NP) is common among military helicopter pilots
- Previously, military helicopter pilots with a history of NP demonstrated less neck strength and flexibility when compared to pilots without a history of NP
- Other factors such as weak scapular muscles, poor head and shoulder posture have not been investigated in military helicopter pilots
- The purpose of the study was to investigate neck strength, flexibility, posture, and proprioception in pilots with and without a history of NP

2. METHODS

SUBJECTS (Table 1)

- Twenty-seven male pilots with a history of NP and age-matched pilots
- Pain Characteristics (0-10 Numeric Scale: 4.0 ± 1.7 , pain duration: 1.5 ± 1.7 days, and Neck Disability Index: 6.9 ± 5.6)

	Pain Group	No-Pain Group
Age (years)	34.5 ± 6.4	34.3 ± 6.1
Height (cm)	176.9 ± 7.1	177.3 ± 8.5
Mass (kg)	84.8 ± 11.2	83.0 ± 12.0
Flight Experience (years)	8.5 ± 6.0	9.0 ± 6.1
Total Flight-Hour (hours)	1800.9 ± 1460.7	1907.0 ± 1365.4
Total NVG Fight-Hour (hours)	446.7 ± 438.9	448.0 ± 426.3
12-month Flight-Hour (hours)	216.5 ± 156.7	258.6 ± 188.5

Table 1: Demographics and Flight Characteristics

EQUIPMENT

- Lafayette handheld dynamometer (HHD) and the Biodex System 3 PRO dynamometer (Biodex, Shirley, NY) for strength testing (Figure 1)
- CROM 3 (Performance Attainment Associates, Lindstrom, MN) for neck flexibility and forward head posture (Figure 2)
- A modified 16-inch combination square (Swanson Tool Co., Frankfort, IL) for forward shoulder posture and pectoralis minor length (Figure 3)
- Vicon Nexus motion capture system (Vicon Motion Systems, Centennial, CO) for proprioception testing (Figure 4)

PROCEDURES

- For strength testing, after warm-up trials, pilots performed the maximal isometric contraction against HHD for neck and scapular strength and the maximal isokinetic contraction against Biodex for the upper scapular strength
- For neck flexibility testing, pilots wore CROM 3 and actively rotated neck in each direction (flexion, extension, lateral flexion, and rotation) three times
- Forward head posture was assessed in a sitting position wearing CROM 3 with a forward head attachment, and the horizontal distance from C7 and mid-ear was measured
- Forward shoulder posture was assessed in standing, and the distance from the wall to the anterior tip of the acromion process was measured
- Pectoralis minor length was assessed in a supine position, and the distance from the floor to the posterior tip of the acromion was measured
- For all postural assessments, an average of three trials were used
- For neck proprioception, pilots wore a blindfold, turned neck to target position (with guidance), and replicated the target position without guidance to evaluate joint angle differences (four target positions)
- A mean absolute joint errors for five trials were used for analyses

DEPENDENT VARIABLES

- Strength was measured in peak force normalized in body mass (%BM) for neck flexion, extension, lateral flexion, rotation, upper trapezius, middle trapezius, and lower trapezius
- Flexibility was measured in degrees (°) for neck flexion, extension, lateral flexion, and rotation for flexibility
- Posture was measured in centimeters (cm) for forward head posture, forward shoulder posture, and pectoralis minor length
- Proprioception was measured in degrees (°) for each target position (R30, R60, L30, and L60)

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

- Each variable was screened for outliers and normality
- Paired t-tests or Wilcoxon tests were used to compare all variables between groups ($p < 0.05$)

3. RESULTS

- No significant differences on neck and scapular strength (Table 2)
- Pilots with a history of NP had significantly less neck flexibility on extension and rotation directions ($p < 0.05$) (Table 3)
- No significant differences on forward head and shoulder posture and pectoralis minor length (Table 3)
- No significant differences on neck proprioception (Table 4)

Strength (%BM)	Pain Group	No-Pain Group	p
Flexion	17.6 ± 3.5	17.5 ± 3.9	0.904
Extension	31.3 ± 5.2	32.3 ± 4.9	0.518
Lateral Flexion R	25.2 ± 3.5	26.9 ± 5.0	0.154
Lateral Flexion L	26.1 ± 3.8	28.2 ± 6.0	0.152
Rotation R	20.3 ± 3.7	21.2 ± 4.0	0.366
Rotation L	20.7 ± 3.6	22.3 ± 4.9	0.241
Upp. Trapezius R	503.1 ± 111.7	533.4 ± 105.9	0.363
Upp. Trapezius L	538.9 ± 131.4	576.9 ± 109.8	0.279
Mid. Trapezius R	13.2 ± 4.1	14.4 ± 3.6	0.195
Mid. Trapezius L	12.7 ± 3.5	13.5 ± 3.3	0.385
Low. Trapezius R	13.8 ± 3.9	15.2 ± 4.0	0.160
Low. Trapezius L	13.5 ± 3.9	14.7 ± 3.8	0.297

Table 2: Neck and Scapular Strength

Flexibility (°) / Posture (cm)	Pain Group	No-Pain Group	p
Flexion	56.1 ± 9.9	59.1 ± 8.3	0.271
Extension	63.7 ± 8.5	68.3 ± 7.4	0.048*
Lateral Flexion R	48.4 ± 6.7	52.4 ± 9.7	0.054
Lateral Flexion L	49.8 ± 8.3	54.3 ± 8.6	0.051
Rotation R	67.7 ± 8.8	73.4 ± 7.4	0.034*
Rotation L	67.4 ± 9.0	72.9 ± 6.8	0.030*
Forward Head Posture	22.1 ± 1.5	21.7 ± 1.6	0.201
Forward Shld Post. R	16.8 ± 2.0	16.4 ± 1.9	0.437
Forward Shld Post. L	16.7 ± 2.4	15.7 ± 2.0	0.079
Pec. Minor Length R	7.1 ± 1.7	7.3 ± 1.5	0.819
Pec. Minor Length L	6.5 ± 1.4	6.6 ± 1.4	0.726

Table 3: Neck Flexibility and Posture (* represents sig. group diff.)

Proprioception (°)	Pain Group	No-Pain Group	p
Abs. Error at R30	3.2 ± 1.6	3.2 ± 1.8	0.857
Abs. Error at R60	2.0 ± 1.2	2.2 ± 1.3	0.334
Abs. Error at L30	3.2 ± 1.7	3.0 ± 1.4	0.600
Abs. Error at L60	1.9 ± 0.7	2.2 ± 1.3	0.946

Table 4: Neck Proprioception

4. CONCLUSION

- The results demonstrate suboptimal neck flexibility in pilots with a history of neck pain
- Operating a helicopter with limited neck flexibility or neck pain may negatively impact flight safety and force readiness
- It is important to assess neck flexibility routinely and address limitation with stretching exercise

FUNDING AND DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

- This work was supported by U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command (Research Grant USAMRMC/TATRC #W81XWH-06-2-0070/W81XWH-09-2-0095. Opinions, interpretations, conclusions, and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the U.S. Army
- The authors have no financial relationships to disclose



Fig 1: Neck and Scapular Strength Testing



Fig 2: Neck Flexibility and Forward Head Posture



Fig 3: Fwd Shld Posture



Fig 4: Proprioception