

PS 2703 Practice Problems
November 2, 2007

Constraining the King

Suppose that there is a King who chooses whether or not to impose a tax on one of two social groups: Merchants or Landowners. The King's army can collect the tax at a cost e , which transfers an amount of wealth x from the group to the King, but the army is only strong enough to collect from one of the groups. Assume $x > e$ and that the King also has the option of doing nothing.

If the King selects a group to tax, the Merchants and Landowners next simultaneously decide whether or not to challenge him. Challenging the King costs each group c . If both groups challenge, then the result is a written constitution that constrains the King from imposing taxes without both groups' consent, from which each group receives a benefit b and the King pays a cost k . If only one or neither group challenges, then the King's army collects the tax and x is transferred from the selected group to the King.

Is there a subgame perfect Nash equilibrium in which the King successfully taxes one of the groups? Is there a subgame perfect Nash equilibrium in which both groups successfully challenge the King?

An Extensive Game with Continuous Actions

Assume that two people play a game where they choose numbers sequentially. The first player chooses x . The second player observes x then chooses y . Assume that both x and y can be any real number. Player 1's payoff depends only on the number that Player 2 chooses, while Player 2's payoff depends on both numbers. Specifically, assume the utility functions are

$$u_1(x, y) = 10 - (4 - y)^2$$

$$u_2(x, y) = y(x - y)$$

What is the subgame perfect Nash equilibrium of this game?

Ultimatum Game

(a) Consider the basic ultimatum game where $c > 0$ and both players get nothing if the offer is rejected. Is there a Nash equilibrium (that is not subgame perfect) where Player 1 offers $x > c$ and Player 2 accepts?

(b) Consider a variation of the ultimatum game where $c = 1$ in which the second player cares about the *distribution* of payoffs in addition to his/her own payoffs. To describe the

utility function, let x_1 and x_2 be the shares that the players receive at the end of the game. If Player 1's offer is y and if they agree, then $x_1 = c - y$ and $x_2 = y$. Otherwise $x_1 = 0$ and $x_2 = 0$. Player 1 only cares about only her own share so that $u_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1$. Player 2's utility is her own share minus a penalty for the difference between the two shares so that $u_2(x_1, x_2) = x_2 - |x_1 - x_2|$. Find the subgame perfect Nash equilibrium of this game.